



King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

Technical Report Series

TR 094

February 1987

**Uniform Distributional Convergence of Probability
Density Function and Some of its Functionals**

Khaled I. Abdul-Al

UNIFORM DISTRIBUTIONAL CONVERGENCE OF
PROBABILITY DENSITY FUNCTION AND SOME
OF ITS FUNCTIONALS

by

Khaled I. Abdul-Al
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Petroleum & Minerals
Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Uniform distributional convergence are proved for kernel-type estimators of probability density, distribution function, p -th order quantile and failure rate function in the case where the observations are from identically distributed random sequence.

AMS 1970 Subject Classifications: 60F05, 60G10

Keywords and phrases. Kernel-type density estimator, uniform distributional convergence, quantile, distribution function, failure rate function.

1. Introduction

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be independent identically distributed (iid) random variables with continuous probability density function (p.d.f.) f and distribution function (d.f.) F and defined on the probability space (Ω, β, P) . Let $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of estimators of the density function given by:

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{na_n} \sum_{j=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - X_j}{a_n}\right) \quad (1.1)$$

where K is a known symmetric p.d.f. satisfying the following condition:

$$(A1) \quad \sup_{-\infty < u < \infty} K(u) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} |u|K(u) = 0$$

and $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of positive and real numbers such that $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The main object of this paper is to establish the uniform convergence in distribution of the sequence $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of estimators and some of its functionals on the interval $[0,1]$. Kernel-type estimators of probability density and its functionals have been studied extensively by several authors (see Prakasa Rao [7]). We have established the uniform convergence in distribution of the estimators and functionals which is a natural extension of the work done in this area.

2. Preliminaries

Let us now combine the results of this with those established by Parzen [6], Nadarya [5] and Watson and Leadbetter [10] to obtain concrete criteria for convergence in distribution.

Let X_n and X be random elements of D space (see Billingsly [3]). Write T_X for T_P , where P is the distribution of X . Thus T_X contains 0 and 1, and, if $0 < t < 1$, $t \in T_X$ if and only if $P\{X(t) \neq X(t-)\} = 0$.

Theorem 1. Suppose that

$$(X_n(t_1), \dots, X_n(t_r)) \xrightarrow{D} (X(t_1), \dots, X(t_r))$$

holds whenever t_1, \dots, t_r all lie in T_X , that

$$P\{X(1) \neq X(1-)\} = 0; \quad \text{and}$$

$$E\{|X_n(t) - X_n(t_1)|^\gamma |X_n(t_2) - X_n(t)|^\gamma\} \leq [F(t_2) - F(t_1)]^{2\alpha}$$

for $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$ and $n \geq 1$, where $\gamma \geq 0$, $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ and F is a non-decreasing continuous function on $[0,1]$. Then

$$X_n \xrightarrow{D} X$$

Proof. Can be found in Billingsly [3], pp.128-130.

3. Density Function.

We define $f_n(x)$ by (1.1) and assume that the following conditions on the functions f and K and the sequence $\{a_n\}$ are satisfied.

$$(A2) \quad \int u K(u) du = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int u^m K(u) du < \infty; \quad m = 2, 3,$$

$$(A3) \quad K(u) \in \text{Lip}_1(\mathbb{R}),$$

$$(A4) \quad f \text{ has uniformly bounded derivative up to the third order}$$

$$(A5) \quad f \text{ is a nondecreasing function on } [0,1], \text{ and}$$

$$(A6) \quad na_n \rightarrow \infty, \quad na_n^3 \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad na_n^5 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Parzen [6] has shown that

$$\sqrt{na_n} [f_n(x) - EF_n(x)] \xrightarrow{D} (0, \sigma^2) \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\sigma^2 = f(x) \int K^2(u) du \quad (3.3)$$

In this section it is shown that

$$Y_{1n} \xrightarrow{D} N \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty)$$

where N is a normal random variable and

$$Y_{1n}(t) = \sqrt{na_n} [f_n(t) - f(t)] \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1) \quad (3.3)$$

First, we have to prove the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1. Assume conditions A2, A4 and A6 are satisfied, then

$$Y_{1n} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2) \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Using Taylor's expansion and by A2

$$\begin{aligned} Ef_n(t) - f(t) &= \frac{1}{a_n} \int f(x) K\left(\frac{t-x}{a_n}\right) dx - f(t) \\ &= \int [f(t - a_n z) - f(t)] K(z) dz \\ &= \frac{a_n^2}{2} f''(t) \int z^2 K(z) dz + f'''(\xi) a_n^3 \int u^3 K(u) du + O(a_n^3) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where ξ is random point between $t - a_n z$ and t .

Thus, by A4 and A6

$$\sqrt{na_n} [Ef_n(t) - f(t)] = \frac{\sqrt{na_n^5}}{2} f''(t) \int z^2 K(z) dz \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From (3.1) and (3.5), we can say that

$$Y_{1n} = \sqrt{na_n} [f_n(t) - f(t)] \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lemma 2. Assume that conditions A1, A2, A4, and A6 are satisfied, then

$$Y_1 = [Y_{1n}(t_1), \dots, Y_{1n}(t_r)]' \longrightarrow N(0, \Sigma) \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (3.6)$$

where $N(0, \Sigma)$ is r -variate normal distribution

$$\Sigma = \text{diag} \left(f(t_\alpha) \int K^2(z) dz \right); \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, r, \quad (3.7)$$

and t_1, \dots, t_r all lie in T_X .

Proof. It is enough to show that $Z_1 = [Z_{1n}(t_1), \dots, Z_{1n}(t_r)]'$ has r -dimensional normal as a limiting distribution, where

$$Z_{1n}(t_\alpha) = \sqrt{na_n} [f_n(t_\alpha) - E f_n(t_\alpha)]; \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, r. \quad (3.8)$$

Define, for $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$V_{nj}(t_\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{na_n}} \left[K\left(\frac{t_\alpha - X_j}{a_n}\right) - EK\left(\frac{t_\alpha - X_j}{a_n}\right) \right] \quad (3.9)$$

Then it follows from (3.9) that; for $\alpha = 1, \dots, r$;

$$Z_{1n}(t_\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n V_{nj}(t_\alpha) \quad (3.10)$$

The lemma can be proved if we can show that for any nonzero real constants c_i , $i = 1, 2$; the linear combination

$$B_{1n} = c_1 Z_{1n}(t_1) + c_2 Z_{1n}(t_2)$$

has a limiting normal distribution. B_{1n} may be rewritten as

$$B_{1n} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 c_{\alpha} V_{nj}(t_{\alpha}) = \sum_{j=1}^n T_{nj} \quad (3.11)$$

Since, for a fixed t_1, t_2 and n , the random variables T_{n1}, \dots, T_{nn} are iid, and the sufficient condition under which B_{1n} converges to normal in distribution is that

$$\frac{n^{-1/2} E|nT_{n1}|^3}{(\text{Var } nT_{n1})^{3/2}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and this is an application to Lyapounov's Theorem (see Loeve [4]).

To evaluate the asymptotic moments of T_{n1} , as it is necessary to obtain the asymptotic moments of $V_{n1}(t_{\alpha})$; $\alpha = 1, 2$. Parzen has shown that

$$n \text{Var } f_n(t_{\alpha}) \approx f(t_{\alpha}) \int K^2(z) dz$$

which implies that

$$n \text{Var } V_{n1}(t_{\alpha}) \approx f(t_{\alpha}) \int K^2(z) dz < \infty \quad (3.12)$$

Abdulai and Siddiqui [1] have shown that

$$\text{Cov}\left[K\left(\frac{t_1 - X}{a_n}\right), K\left(\frac{t_2 - X}{a_n}\right)\right] = O(a_n^2)$$

which implies that

$$n \text{Cov}[V_{n1}(t_1), V_{n1}(t_2)] = \frac{1}{a_n} \text{Cov}\left[K\left(\frac{t_1 - X}{a_n}\right), K\left(\frac{t_2 - X}{a_n}\right)\right] \quad (3.13)$$

(3.12) and (3.13) imply that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \text{Var } T_{n1} < \infty. \quad (3.14)$$

It remains to show that

$$n E|T_{n1}|^3 \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using (3.11) and the c_r -inequality, it follows that

$$E|T_{n1}|^3 \leq 2^3 [|c_1|^3 E|V_{n1}(t_1)|^3 + |c_2|^3 E|V_{n1}(t_2)|^3].$$

But, for $\alpha = 1, 2$;

$$n E|V_{n1}(t_\alpha)|^3 \approx \frac{1}{n^{1/2} a_n^{3/2}} \int K^3\left(\frac{t_\alpha - z}{a_n}\right) f(z) dz$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{na_n}} \int K^3(z) f(t_\alpha - a_n z) dz \\
&\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{na_n}} f(t_\alpha) \int K^3(z) dz
\end{aligned}$$

(3.15) and A6 imply that

$$n E|T_{n1}|^3 \leq \frac{8}{\sqrt{na_n}} \left[\sum_{\alpha=1}^2 |c_\alpha|^3 f(t_\alpha) \int K^3(z) dz \right] \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.16)$$

From (3.14) and (3.16), we get

$$\frac{n^{-\frac{1}{2}} E|n T_{n1}|^3}{(\text{Var } n T_{n1})^{3/2}} = \frac{n E|T_{n1}|^3}{(n \text{Var } T_{n1})^{3/2}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \square$$

In view of Theorem 1 to show that $Y_{1n} \longrightarrow N$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it suffices to show that; for $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$;

$$E|Y_{1n}(t) - Y_{1n}(t_1)| |Y_{1n}(t_2) - Y_{1n}(t)| \leq [F(t_2) - F(t_1)]^2$$

where F is a nondecreasing function in $[0,1]$.

Theorem 2. If conditions A1-A6 are satisfied, then

$$Y_{1n} \longrightarrow N \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Proof. For $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$

$$\begin{aligned}
& E|Y_{1n}(t) - Y_{1n}(t_1)| |Y_{1n}(t_2) - Y_{1n}(t)| \\
&= na_n E|f_n(t) - f_n(t_1) - (f(t) - f(t_1))| |f_n(t_2) - f_n(t) - (f(t_2) - f(t))| \\
&\leq na_n E|f_n(t) - f_n(t_1)| |f_n(t_2) - f_n(t)| \\
&= \frac{1}{na_n} E|K(\frac{t-X}{a_n}) - K(\frac{t_1-X}{a_n})| |K(\frac{t_2-X}{a_n}) - K(\frac{t-X}{a_n})| \\
&\leq \frac{M}{na_n^3} |t - t_1| |t_2 - t| \leq M(t_2 - t + t - t_1)^2 \\
&= M(t_2 - t_1)^2
\end{aligned}$$

The second and fourth expressions are obtained due to A5 and A3, respectively.

The fifth expression is obtained since $xy \leq (x+y)^2$ and $na_n^3 \geq 1$. \square

4. Distribution function.

In analogy with the kernel method of density estimation described in Section 3, we shall now study the uniform convergence in distribution of the sequence $\{F_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ on the interval $[0,1]$, where $F_n(x)$ are the estimators of the d.f. F and defined by

$$F_n(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_n(z) dz, \quad (4.1)$$

Nadarya [5] has shown that

$$\sqrt{n}(F_n(x) - E F_n(x)) \xrightarrow{D} (0, \sigma_1^2) \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\sigma_1^2 = F(x)[1 - F(x)] \quad (4.3)$$

We now assume that the d.f. F and the sequence $\{a_n\}$ satisfy the following conditions:

(A7) F has bounded first and second derivatives,

(A8) $na_n \rightarrow \infty$, $na_n^2 \geq 1$ and $na_n^4 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In this section, we will show that

$$Y_{2n} \xrightarrow{D} N \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$Y_{2n}(t) = \sqrt{n} [F_n(t) - F(t)] \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1)$$

To show (4.4), we need to prove the following two lemmas and their proofs resemble that of the proofs of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. Assume condition A2, A7 and A8 are satisfied then

$$Y_{2n}(t) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma_1^2) \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. It is analogous to the proof of Lemma 1: The details are left to the reader.

Lemma 4. Assume that conditions A1, A2, A7 and A8 are satisfied, then

$$Y_2 = [Y_{2n}(t_1), \dots, Y_{2n}(t_r)]' \longrightarrow N(0, \Sigma_1) \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty)$$

where

$$\Sigma_1 = (\sigma_{ij}) \tag{4.6}$$

with

$$\sigma_{ij} = \begin{cases} F(t_i)[1 - F(t_i)] & \text{if } i=j, \quad i=1, \dots, r \\ F[\min(t_i, t_{i+1})] - F(t_i)F(t_{i+1}) & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

and t_1, \dots, t_r all lie in T_X .

Proof. Again it is enough to show that $Z_2 = [Z_{2n}(t_1), \dots, Z_{2n}(t_r)]$ has r -dimensional normal as limiting distribution, where

$$Z_{2n}(t_\alpha) = \sqrt{n} [F_n(t_\alpha) - E F_n(t_\alpha)]; \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, r_j \tag{4.7}$$

We define; for $j = 1, \dots, n$;

$$V_{nj}^*(t_\alpha) = \frac{1}{a_n \sqrt{n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{t_\alpha} K\left(\frac{s - X_j}{a_n}\right) ds - E \int_{-\infty}^{t_\alpha} K\left(\frac{s - X_j}{a_n}\right) ds \right]$$

It is easy to see that

$$Z_{2n}(t_\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n V_{nj}^*(t_\alpha) \tag{4.8}$$

Z_2 will have r -dimensional normal as a limiting distribution, if it can be shown for any nonzero constants b_1 and b_2 the linear combination

$$B_{2n} = b_1 Z_{2n}(t_1) + b_2 Z_{2n}(t_2)$$

has a limiting normal distribution. But

$$B_{2n} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 b V_{nj}^*(t_\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n T_{nj}^* \quad (4.9)$$

where $T_{n1}^*, \dots, T_{nn}^*$ are iid random variables for fixed t_1, t_2 and n . We need to show that

$$\frac{n^{-1/2} E |nT_{n1}^*|^3}{(\text{Var } nT_{n1}^*)^{3/2}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (4.10)$$

Nadarya has shown that

$$n \text{Var } F_n(t_\alpha) \cong F(t_\alpha)[1 - F(t_\alpha)] < \infty$$

which implies that

$$n \text{Var } V_{n1}^*(t_\alpha) \cong F(t_\alpha)[1 - F(t_\alpha)] < \infty \quad (4.11)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} n \text{Cov}(V_{n1}^*(t_1), V_{n1}^*(t_2)) &= \frac{1}{a_n^2} \iint_{-\infty}^{t_2} \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} K\left(\frac{s_1-x}{a_n}\right) K\left(\frac{s_2-x}{a_n}\right) f(x) ds_1 ds_2 dx \\ &\quad - E F_n(t_1) E F_n(t_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \iint_{-\infty}^{t_2} \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} K(z) K\left(\frac{s_2-s_1}{a_n} + z\right) f(s_1 - a_n z) ds_1 ds_2 dz - E F_n(t_1) E F_n(t_2)$$

$$= \iint_{-\infty}^{\frac{t_2-s_1}{a_n}} \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} K(z) K(w+z) f(s_1 - a_n z) ds_1 dw dz - E F_n(t_1) E F_n(t_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \iint_{-\infty}^{\max(t_2, t_1) - s} \frac{1}{a_n} \int_{-\infty}^{\min(t_1, t_2)} K(z)K(w+z)f(s - a_n z) ds dw dz - EF_n(t_1)EF_n(t_2) \\
&= F[\min(t_1, t_2)] - F(t_1)F(t_2)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

From (4.11) and (4.12), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \operatorname{Var} T_{n1}^* < \infty \tag{4.13}$$

Finally, we show that

$$n E |T_{n1}^*|^3 \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

But

$$E |T_{n1}^*|^3 \leq 2^3 [|b_1|^3 E |V_{n1}^*(t_1)|^3 + |b_2|^3 E |V_n(t_2)|^3]$$

and, for $\alpha = 1, 2,$

$$\begin{aligned}
n^{3/2} E |V_{n1}^*(t_\alpha)|^3 &= \int \left| \int_{-\infty}^{t_\alpha} \frac{1}{a_n} K\left(\frac{s-x}{a_n}\right) ds - EF_n(t_\alpha) \right|^3 f(x) dx \\
&= \int \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{t_\alpha - x}{a_n}} K(z) dz - EF_n(t_\alpha) \right|^3 f(x) dx \\
&\rightarrow \begin{cases} \int |1 - F(x)|^3 f(x) dx & \text{if } x < t_\alpha \\ \int \left| \frac{1}{2} - F(x) \right|^3 f(x) dx & \text{if } x = t_\alpha \\ \int |F(x)|^3 f(x) dx & \text{if } x > t_\alpha \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$n E |T_{n1}^*|^3 \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad \square$$

Finally, we establish the uniform convergence of $Y_{2n}(t)$.

Theorem 3. Assume conditions of Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 are satisfied, then

$$Y_{2n} \longrightarrow N \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Again, it is enough to show; for $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$

$$E |Y_{2n}(t) - Y_{2n}(t_1)| |Y_{2n}(t_2) - Y_{2n}(t)| \leq [G(t_2) - G(t_1)]^2$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & E |Y_{2n}(t) - Y_{2n}(t_1)| |Y_{2n}(t_2) - Y_{2n}(t)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{na_n^2} E \left| \int_{-\infty}^t K\left(\frac{s-X}{a_n}\right) ds - \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} K\left(\frac{s-X}{a_n}\right) ds \right| \left| \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} K\left(\frac{s-X}{a_n}\right) ds - \int_{-\infty}^t K\left(\frac{s-X}{a_n}\right) ds \right| \\ & = \frac{1}{n} E \left| \int_{\frac{t_1-X}{a_n}}^{\frac{t-X}{a_n}} K(z) dz \right| \left| \int_{\frac{t-X}{a_n}}^{\frac{t_2-X}{a_n}} K(z) dz \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{na_n^2} \left[\sup_z K(z) \right]^2 |t - t_1| |t_2 - t| \leq M [t_2 - t_1]^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

5. Quantile.

Let t_p denote the p -th order quantile of the d.f. F , i.e.,

$$F(t_p) = p \quad 0 < p < 1$$

We assume that it is unique. As an approximation to t_p , we take

$$F_n(\hat{t}_p) = p$$

where \hat{t}_p is the sample quantile. Nadarya [4] has showed that

$$\sqrt{n} f(t_p)(\hat{t}_p - t_p) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, \sigma_1^2) \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\frac{\sqrt{n} f(t_p)(\hat{t}_p - t_p)}{\sqrt{F(t_p)(1 - F(t_p))}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sqrt{n} [F_n(t_p) - EF_n(t_p)]}{\sqrt{F(t_p)(1 - F(t_p))}}$$

have the same limiting normal distribution under the conditions of the following theorem.

Theorem 4. Assume that the conditions of Lemma 4 hold. If f is uniformly continuous, then

$$(i) \quad Y_3 = [Y_{3n}(t_{p_1}), \dots, Y_{3n}(t_{p_r})]' \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, \Sigma_1) \quad (5.2)$$

where

$$Y_{3n}(t_{p_\alpha}) = \sqrt{n} f_n(t_{p_\alpha})(\hat{t}_{p_\alpha} - t_{p_\alpha}),$$

Σ_1 is defined as in (4.6), and

t_{p_1}, \dots, t_{p_r} all lie in T_X .

$$(ii) \quad Y_{3n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. For part (i), it is enough to show that for any constants d_1 and d_2

$$B_{3n} = \sqrt{n} [d_1 f_n(t_{p_1})(t_{p_1} - t_{p_1}) + d_2 f_n(t_{p_2})(t_{p_2} - t_{p_2})]$$

has a limiting normal distribution. But B_{3n} and B_{2n} have the same limiting normal distribution.

The proof of part (ii) is much involved. Some preliminary results will facilitate it. Applying Taylor's expansion to $F_n(\hat{t}_p)$, we get

$$P = F(\hat{t}_p) = F_n(\hat{t}_p) = F_n(t_p) + (\hat{t}_p - t_p) f_n(\theta) \quad (5.3)$$

where θ is a random point between \hat{t}_p and t_p . From (5.3), we obtain

$$f(t_p)(\hat{t}_p - t_p) = [F_n(t_p) - F(t_p)] \frac{f(t_p)}{f_n(\theta)} \quad (5.4)$$

In view of Theorem 1, we need to show, for $t_{p_1} \leq t_p \leq t_{p_2}$, that

$$E|Y_{3n}(t_p) - Y_{3n}(t_{p_1})| |Y_{3n}(t_{p_2}) - Y_{3n}(t_p)| \leq [G(t_{p_2}) - G(t_{p_1})]^2$$

where G is a nondecreasing function in $[0,1]$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & E|Y_{3n}(t_p) - Y_{3n}(t_{p_1})| |Y_{3n}(t_{p_2}) - Y_{3n}(t_p)| \\ &= n E |f(t_p)(\hat{t}_p - t_p) - f(t_{p_1})(\hat{t}_{p_1} - t_{p_1})| |f(t_{p_2})(\hat{t}_{p_2} - t_{p_2}) - f(t_p)(\hat{t}_p - t_p)| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= n E \left| \frac{f(t_p)}{f_n(\theta)} [F_n(t_p) - F(t_p)] - \frac{f(t_{p_1})}{f_n(\theta_1)} [F_n(t_{p_1}) - F(t_{p_1})] \right| \\
&\quad \times \left| \frac{f(t_{p_2})}{f_n(\theta_2)} [F_n(t_{p_2}) - F(t_{p_2})] - \frac{f(t_p)}{f_n(\theta)} [F_n(t_p) - F(t_p)] \right|
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{f(t_p)}{f_n(\theta)} &= \frac{f(t_p)}{f(t_p) + f_n(\theta) - f(t_p)} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{f(t_p) - f_n(\theta)}{f(t_p)}} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{f(t_p) - f_n(\theta)}{f(t_p)} \right|^j \\
&= 1 + o(1)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

From (5.5) and (5.6), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&E |Y_{3n}(t_p) - Y_{3n}(t_{p_1})| |Y_{3n}(t_{p_2}) - Y_{3n}(t_p)| \\
&\leq n E |F_n(t_p) - F(t_p) - (F_n(t_{p_1}) - F(t_{p_1}))| \\
&\quad \times |F_n(t_{p_2}) - F(t_{p_2}) - (F_n(t_p) - F(t_p))| \\
&\leq K(t_{p_2} - t_{p_1})^2
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is from the proof of Theorem 3. \square

6. Failure Rate Function.

The failure is given by

$$h(x) = \frac{F(x)}{1 - F(x)}$$

for all x such that $F(x) < 1$. Using $f_n(x)$ and $F_n(x)$ given by (1.1) and (4.1), respectively, we estimate $h(x)$ by

$$h_n(x) = \frac{f_n(x)}{1 - F_n(x)} \quad (6.1)$$

Watson and Leadbetter [9] have proved that

$$\sqrt{na_n} [h_n(x) - h(x)] \longrightarrow N(0, \sigma_3^2) \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (6.2)$$

where

$$\sigma_3^2 = \frac{f(x)}{[1 - F(x)]^2} \int K^2(z) dz \quad (6.3)$$

In the following Lemma the limiting distribution of $[h_n(t_1), \dots, h_n(t_r)]'$ is derived. It is a special case of Theorem 4.1 of Ahmad and Lin [2]. Its proof is based on a generalization of Theorem (iii) of Rao [8], p.322. The proof is omitted.

Lemma 5. If conditions of Lemma 4 hold, then

$$Y_4 = [Y_{4n}(t_1), \dots, Y_{4n}(t_r)]' \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \Sigma_2)$$

where

$$Y_{4n}(t_\alpha) = \sqrt{na_n} [h_n(t_\alpha) - h(t_\alpha)], \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, r$$

and

$$\Sigma_2 = (\sigma_{\alpha\beta}) \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, r$$

with

$$= \begin{cases} [\bar{F}(t_\alpha)]^{-2} f(t_\alpha) \int K^2(z) dz + h^2(t_\alpha) F(t_\alpha) [\bar{F}(t_\alpha)]^{-1} & \text{for } \alpha = \beta \\ h(t_\alpha) h(t_\beta) \gamma_{\alpha\beta} [\bar{F}(t_\alpha)]^{-1} [\bar{F}(t_\beta)]^{-1} & \text{for } \alpha \neq \beta \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = F(\min(t_\alpha, t_\beta)) - F(t_\alpha)F(t_\beta),$$

$$\bar{F}(t_\alpha) = 1 - F(t_\alpha),$$

and t_1, \dots, t_r all lie in T_X .

Finally, we establish the uniform distributional convergence of $Y_{4n}(t)$ under the assumption of Theorem 2 and Theorem 4, together.

Theorem 5. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 2 and Theorem 4 hold.

If $\bar{F}(x) > \delta > 0$, then

$$Y_{4n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 1 and Lemma 5, it suffices to show, for $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$, that

$$E|Y_{4n}(t) - Y_{4n}(t_1)| |Y_{4n}(t_2) - Y_{4n}(t)| \leq [G^*(t_2) - G^*(t_1)]^2$$

where G^* is a nondecreasing function in $[0,1]$.

Rice and Rosenblatt [8] have shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_n(t) &= \frac{f_n(t)}{1 - F(t)} \left(1 + O_p\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) \\
 &= a_n(t) \left(1 + O_p\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right), \quad \text{say.}
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

(6.4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &E|Y_{4n}(t) - Y_{4n}(t_1)| |Y_{4n}(t_2) - Y_{4n}(t)| \\
 &\leq na_n E|h_n(t) - h_n(t_1) - (h(t) - h(t_1))| |h_n(t_2) - h_n(t) - (h(t_2) - h(t))| \\
 &\leq na_n E|a_n(t) - a_n(t_1) - (h(t) - h(t_1))| |a_n(t_2) - a_n(t) - (h(t_2) - h(t))| \\
 &\leq na_n \delta E|f_n(t) - f_n(t_1) - (f(t) - f(t_1))| |f_n(t_2) - f_n(t) - (f(t_2) - f(t_1))| \\
 &\leq \frac{\delta}{na_n} E\left|K\left(\frac{t-X}{a_n}\right) - K\left(\frac{t_1-X}{a_n}\right)\right| \left|K\left(\frac{t_2-X}{a_n}\right) - K\left(\frac{t-X}{a_n}\right)\right| \\
 &\leq \frac{\delta}{na_n^3} M|t - t_1| |t_2 - t| \leq M^* (t_2 - t_1)^2. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] ABDUL-AL, K.I. and SIDDIQUI, M.M.: Density Estimation for Uniform Mixing Process, Bulletin of Informatics and Cybernetics, 22 (1986), to appear.
- [2] AHMAD, I.A. and LIN, P.E.: Nonparametric Estimation of a Vector-Valued Bivariate Failure Rate. Ann. Statist., (1977), 3, 1027-1038.
- [3] BILLINGSLEY, P.: Convergence of Probabilities Measures. Wiley and Sons, New York, (1968).

- [4] LOEVE, M.: Probability Theory. Van Nostrand, Princeton, (1960).
- [5] NADARYA, E.A.: Some New Estimates for Distribution Functions. Theor. Probability Appl., 9, (1964), 497-500.
- [6] PARZEN, E.: On the Estimation of Probability Density Function and the Mode. Ann. Math. Stat., (1962), 33, 1065-1076.
- [7] PRAKASA RAO, B.L.S.: Nonparametric Functional Estimation, Academic Press, (1983).
- [8] RAO, C.R.: Linear Statistical Inference and Its Applications. Wiley, New York, (1965).
- [9] RICE, J. and ROSENBLATT, M.: Estimation of the Log-Survivor Function and Hazard Function. Sankhya, Series A, 38, 1976, 60-78.
- [10] WATSON, G.S. and LEADBETTER, M.R.: Hazard Analysis II. Sankhya, Series A., 24, (1964), 101-116.