



King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

Technical Report Series

TR 108

March 1989

Spaces, Another Generalization

Adnan A. Al-Shakhs

" Σ^{*} '-spaces, another generalization of σ -spaces."

By

Adnan A. Al-Shakhs

ASBTRACT

The Σ^{*} '-space is defined by weakening some conditions in the definition of Σ -space. The class of Σ^{*} '-space is found to be larger than the class of Σ^{*} '-space. It is found that if X is a Σ^{*} '-space with point countable pseudobase, then it is a σ -space. Another result, if X is a perfect Σ^{*} '-space, then it is Σ -space. A detailed look at $\Sigma^{\#}$ -spaces is also given. Some results are developed which can be used to get a σ -discrete refinement of closed sets from a σ -closure preserving collection of closed sets.

In this paper we give a detailed look at $\Sigma^\#$ -spaces. The class of $\Sigma^\#$ -spaces includes all metric spaces, σ -spaces, Σ -spaces, and Σ^* -spaces.

$\Sigma^\#$ -spaces were defined first by E. Michael in [4]. Later A. Okuyama in [7] introduced the class of Σ^* -spaces which contains the class of Σ -spaces. Σ -space was defined by K. Nagami in [6].

Here are some of the properties of $\Sigma^\#$ -spaces:

- 1) A closed image of a $\Sigma^\#$ -space is a $\Sigma^\#$ -space "where this is not true for Σ -spaces".
- 2) The inverse image of a $\Sigma^\#$ -space under a quasi-perfect map is a $\Sigma^\#$ -space. [7]
- 3) If $(X_i)_{i \in \omega}$ is a sequence of strong $\Sigma^\#$ -spaces, then the product space $X = \prod_{i \in \omega} X_i$ is a strong $\Sigma^\#$ -space.
- 4) If X is a semi-stratifiable $\Sigma^\#$ -space, then X is a σ -space.
- 5) If X is a $\Sigma^\#$ -space and $\sigma^\#$ -space, then X is a σ -space. [8].

In addition we define a new space we call it $\Sigma^{*'}$ -space. $\Sigma^{*'}$ -space is a generalization of Okuyama's Σ^* -space. We give example showing that the class of $\Sigma^{*'}$ -spaces is strictly larger than the class of Σ^* -space. Many important results about Σ^* -spaces are also valid when applied on $\Sigma^{*'}$ -spaces.

All spaces considered in this paper are Hausdorff spaces unless stated otherwise. All functions are continuous. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is quasi-perfect if f is closed and $f^{-1}(y)$ is countably compact, $\forall y \in Y$. And if $f^{-1}(y)$ is compact then f is called a perfect mapping. For concepts which are not defined here, see [1].

In section 1 we give basic definitions and results which are needed in this paper. Section 2 is devoted mainly to give properties of $\Sigma^\#$ -spaces. Section 3 contains main results about Σ^{*} -spaces.

Section 1.

1.1 Definition: Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ be a sequence of collections of subsets of a topological space X . We say $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ is a Σ -net if, whenever $\{K_n\}$ is decreasing sequence of non-empty closed subsets of X such that $\exists x \in X$ and $K_n \subset C(x, \mathcal{F}_n)$, $\forall n \in \omega$, then $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} K_n \neq \emptyset$ where $C(x, \mathcal{F}_n) = \bigcap \{F \in \mathcal{F}_n : x \in F\}$ and let $C(x) = \bigcap C(x, \mathcal{F}_n)$, $\forall x \in X$.

1.2 Definition: A space X is called Σ -space if it has a Σ -net $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ such that \mathcal{F}_n is locally finite closed covering of X , $\forall n$. Moreover if $\forall n$, \mathcal{F}_n is closure-preserving (hereditarily closure preserving) closed covering then X is called $\Sigma^\#$ -space (Σ^{*} -space).

1.3 Definition: Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ be a sequence of hereditarily closure

preserving closed covering for a space X . X is called a Σ^* -space if $C(x)$ is countably compact $\forall x \in X$ and whenever U is an open set containing $C(x)$ there is $k \in \omega$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_k$ such that $x \in F \subset U$.

If X is a $\Sigma^\#$ -space such that $\forall x \in X, C(x) = \{x\}$, then X is called a σ -space.

In all of the above definitions observe that $C(x)$ is countably compact, $\forall x \in X$. A Σ -space $(\Sigma^*, \Sigma^{*'}, \Sigma^\#$ -space) is called strong Σ -space $(\Sigma^*, \Sigma^{*'}, \Sigma^\#$ -space) if $C(x)$ is compact.

A space X is called $\sigma^\#$ -space if there is a sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ of closure-preserving collections of closed sets such that for every $x \in X, \{x\} = \bigcap \{F : x \in F \in \mathcal{F}_n\}$ for some $n \in \omega$.

1.4 Definition: A space X is semi-stratifiable if $\forall x \in X$, there is a sequence $\{g_i(x)\}$ of neighborhoods of x such that:

- a. $\bigcap_{i \in \omega} g_i(x) = \{x\}$.
- b. If $x \in g_i(x_i), \forall i \in \omega$, then $x_i \rightarrow x$.

1.5 Lemma: Let X be a $\Sigma^\#$ -space. Then X has a Σ -net $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ which satisfies the following:

- a. \mathcal{F}_n is multiplicative, i.e., for every \mathcal{K}_n a subcollection of $\mathcal{F}_n, \bigcap \mathcal{K}_n$ is also in \mathcal{F}_n .
- b. \mathcal{F}_n is closure-preserving.

c. $\mathcal{F}_{n+1} \supset \mathcal{F}_n$.

d. $C(x, \mathcal{F}_n) \in \mathcal{F}_n$.

Proof: Let (\mathcal{F}_n) be a Σ -net illustrating that X is a $\Sigma^\#$ -space. Let \mathcal{F}_1 be the collection of all intersections of members of \mathcal{F}_1 . To form \mathcal{F}_{n+1} , $n \geq 1$, use the following iterative process:

Suppose \mathcal{F}_i , $i \leq n$ are defined and satisfy (a) - (d) above. Let $A_{n+1} = \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{F}_n \cup \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$. Clearly A_{n+1} is closure preserving. Let \mathcal{F}_{n+1} be the collection of all intersection of members of A_{n+1} . Evidently each \mathcal{F}_n is locally finite, multiplicative, and $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$. Since $C(x, \mathcal{F}_n) = \bigcap \{F \in \mathcal{F}_n : x \in F\}$ and \mathcal{F}_n is multiplicative, then $C(x, \mathcal{F}_n) \in \mathcal{F}_n$. It remains to show that the sequence (\mathcal{F}_n) is a Σ -net. Observe $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n'$, $\forall n$. So $C(x, \mathcal{F}_n) \supseteq C(x, \mathcal{F}_n')$. So if (K_n) is a decreasing sequence of closed sets with $K_n = C(x, \mathcal{F}_n)$, then $K_n = C(x, \mathcal{F}_n')$, $\forall n$. Since \mathcal{F}_n is a Σ -net, $\bigcap K_n \neq \emptyset$. Thus (\mathcal{F}_n) is a closure preserving closed Σ -net satisfying conditions (a) - (d) above.

If X is a Σ -space, the above proof can be used to get a σ -locally finite Σ -net (\mathcal{F}_n) of locally finite connections of closed sets which satisfies a, c and d in the above lemma.

Throughout this paper whenever we say (\mathcal{F}_n) is a Σ -net for $\Sigma^\#$ -space (or Σ -space) X we assume the Σ -net (\mathcal{F}_n) satisfy the conditions in the above lemma. We observe the following "If (\mathcal{F}_n) is a Σ -net for a space X , then for any $x \in X$, $(C(x, \mathcal{F}_n))$:

$n \in \omega$ is an outer network for $C(x)$, i.e., if O is an open set containing $C(x)$ then there is some n so that $C(x, \mathcal{F}_n) \subseteq O$.

1.6 Lemma: If X is a Σ^* -space then it is a $\Sigma^{*'}\text{-space}$.

Proof: Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ be a σ -hereditarily closure preserving collections of closed sets illustrating that X is a Σ^* -space. Let $\{K_n\}$ be a decreasing sequence of closed sets such that $\exists x \in X$ and $K_n \subseteq C(x, \mathcal{F}_n)$, $\forall n$. We need to show that $\bigcap K_n \neq \emptyset$. If $\bigcap K_n = \emptyset$, then $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} (X - K_n) = X - \bigcap_{n \in \omega} K_n = X$ so $C(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (X - K_n)$. Since $C(x)$ is countably compact, $\exists m$ such that $C(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^m (X - K_n)$. Observe that $\bigcap_{n=1}^m K_n = K_m$ so $C(x) \subseteq X - \bigcap_{n=1}^m K_n = X - K_m$. By the definition of Σ^* -space, there is $j \in \omega$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_j$ with $x \in F \subseteq X - K_m$, but $K_j \cap K_m \neq \emptyset$ and $K_j \subseteq F$. So $F \cap K_m \neq \emptyset$. A contradiction. So $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} K_n \neq \emptyset$. Hence X is a $\Sigma^{*'}\text{-space}$.

Clearly every $\Sigma^{*'}\text{-space}$ is a $\Sigma^\#$ -space, and every Σ -space is a Σ^* -space so now we have $\Sigma\text{-space} \longrightarrow \Sigma^*\text{-space} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{*'}\text{-space} \longrightarrow \Sigma^\#\text{-space}$.

2. $\Sigma^\#\text{-Spaces}$

2.1 Theorem: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed continuous map from a $\Sigma^\#\text{-space}$ X onto a topological space Y . Then Y is a $\Sigma^\#\text{-space}$.

Proof: Let $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ be a sequence of closure-preserving closed

covering of X which is also a Σ -net for X . Then $f(\mathcal{F}_i) = \{f(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}_i\}$ is a collection of closed sets $\forall i$. Since, for every subcollection $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}_i$, $f(\cup \mathcal{F}') = \cup \{f(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}'\}$ then $f(\mathcal{F}_i)$ is closure-preserving, $\forall i$. Observe that $\forall x \in X$, $f(x) \in f(C(x, \mathcal{F}_i))$, so $C(f(x), f(\mathcal{F}_i)) \subseteq f(C(x, \mathcal{F}_i))$, this is true because $C(x, \mathcal{F}_i) \in \mathcal{F}_i$. Now if $\{K_i\}$ is a sequence of closed sets in Y such that $K_i \in C(y, f(\mathcal{F}_i))$, for some $y \in Y$, then $\cap K_i \neq \emptyset$. This is because for any sequence $\{y_i\}$ such that $y_i \in K_i$, there is $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ and a sequence $\{x_i\}$ such that $f(x_i) = y_i$, $\forall i$. But $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ is a Σ -net, so the sequence $\{x_i\}$ clusters. Consequently the sequence $\{y_i\}$ clusters also. Hence $\cap K_i \neq \emptyset$, i.e., $f(\mathcal{F}_i)$ is a Σ -net for Y . Then Y is a $\Sigma^\#$ -space.

2.2 Theorem: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed continuous mapping from a Σ -space X onto Y . Then Y is at least a Σ^* -space.

Proof: Let the sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ be a Σ -net for X , illustrating that X is a Σ -space. $\forall n$, let $f(\mathcal{F}_n) = \{f(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}_n\}$. $f(\mathcal{F}_n)$ is hereditarily closure-preserving. To see this suppose that $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \text{ and } B_\alpha \in f(\mathcal{F}_n)\}$ is a collection of closed sets. $\bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} B_\alpha = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} f(f^{-1}(B_\alpha) \cap F_\alpha)$, since $f^{-1}(B_\alpha \cap F_\alpha)$ is a closed subset of F_α , $\forall \alpha < \lambda$, then $\bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} (f^{-1}(B_\alpha) \cap F_\alpha)$ is closed in X . But f is closed and $f[\bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} (f^{-1}(B_\alpha) \cap F_\alpha)] = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} f(f^{-1}(B_\alpha) \cap F_\alpha) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} B_\alpha$. Hence $f(\mathcal{F}_n)$ is hereditarily closure-preserving closed collection. $\{f(\mathcal{F}_n)\}$ is a Σ -net for Y as in the proof of theorem 2.1. Observe that $C(x, \mathcal{F}_n) \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\forall n$, $\forall x$, so $f(C(x, \mathcal{F}_n))$ is in $f(\mathcal{F}_n)$.

Hence Y is a Σ^* -space.

There is an example in [4] which shows that a closed image of a Σ -space is not a Σ -space. On the other hand, Nagami in [6] was able to get the following theorem.

2.3 Theorem [6]: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a quasi-perfect mapping from a space X onto a space Y . Then X is a Σ -space iff Y is a Σ -space.

2.4 Theorem: If $\{X_i\}$ is a sequence of strong $\Sigma^\#$ -spaces, then $X = \prod_{i \in \omega} X_i$ is a strong $\Sigma^\#$ -space.

Proof: For each $i \in \omega$, let $\{\mathcal{G}_{ij}\}$ be the Σ -net associated with X_i which illustrates that X_i is a strong $\Sigma^\#$ -space. For each $n = 1, 2, \dots$ define \mathcal{G}_n by

$$\mathcal{G}_n = \mathcal{G}_{1n} \times \mathcal{G}_{2n} \times \dots \times \mathcal{G}_{nn} \times \prod_{k > n} X_k = \{F_{1n} \times F_{2n} \times \dots \times F_{nn} \times \prod_{k > n} X_k : F_{in} \in \mathcal{G}_{in}, F_{2n} \in \mathcal{G}_{2n}, \dots, F_{nn} \in \mathcal{G}_{nn}\}.$$

Note that:

(a) $\forall n$, \mathcal{G}_n is a closure-preserving covering of X by closed sets.

(b) $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{G}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{G}_n \subset \dots$.

(c) If for each i , we choose $x_i \in X_i$ and form $x \in X$ so that $(x)_i = x_i$ for all i , then $C(x) = \bigcap_{i \in \omega} C(x, \mathcal{G}_i) = \prod_{i \in \omega} C(x_i)$.

We claim that $\{\mathcal{G}_n\}$ illustrates that X is a strong $\Sigma^\#$ -space. In light of (a) and (c), it is only necessary to prove

that $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ is a Σ -net for X . Let $x \in X$. We will show that $\{C(x, \mathcal{F}_n)\}$ is an outer network for $C(x)$. Thus let O be an open neighborhood of $C(x)$ in X . Since $C(x)$ is the product of compact sets, we choose a positive integer m and open sets O_1, O_2, \dots, O_m so that

$$(a') \quad C((x)_i) \cap O_i \subset X_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

$$(b') \quad O_1 \times O_2 \times \dots \times O_m \times \prod_{j>m} X_j \subset O.$$

For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, let k_i be a positive integer so that $C((x)_i) \cap C((x)_i, \mathcal{F}_{ik_i}) \subset O_i$. Let $n = \max\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m, m\}$. Then $C(x) \subset C(x, \mathcal{F}_n) = C((x)_1, \mathcal{F}_{1n}) \times C((x)_2, \mathcal{F}_{2n}) \times \dots \times C((x)_n, \mathcal{F}_{nn}) \times \prod_{j>n} X_j \subset C((x)_1, \mathcal{F}_{1k_1}) \times \dots \times C((x)_m, \mathcal{F}_{1k_m}) \times \prod_{j>m} X_j \subset O_1 \times O_2 \times \dots \times O_m \times \prod_{j>m} X_j \subset O$. It follows that $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ is a Σ -net for X .

Lemma 2.6 was stated in Michael's paper [4], but he did not give the proof. So we present the proof here, and we observe that the proof depends on a result which we generalize in the following lemma.

2.5 Lemma: Let X be a topological space with a Σ -net $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ such that, $\forall n$, \mathcal{F}_n is point-finite and countable. Assume in addition that $\forall x \in X$, $C(x)$ is compact. Then X is Lindelof.

Proof: First we are going to construct a Σ -net $\{\mathcal{K}_n\}$ such that $\forall n$, $\mathcal{K}_n \subset \mathcal{K}_{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{K}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_n$ and $C(x, \mathcal{K}_n) \in \mathcal{K}_n$, $\forall x \in X$. To do this, let \mathcal{F}_1' be the collection of all finite intersections

of members of \mathcal{F}_1 . Then $\forall n$, define $\mathcal{F}_{n+1}' = \mathcal{F}_{n+1} \cup \mathcal{F}_n'$. Let \mathcal{K}_n be the collection of all finite intersections of members of \mathcal{F}_n' . Since \mathcal{F}_n is point-finite, then $C(x, \mathcal{F}_n) \in \mathcal{K}_n$ and consequently $C(x, \mathcal{K}_n) \in \mathcal{K}_n, \forall x, \forall n$. Observe that \mathcal{K}_n is countable $\forall n$. Moreover $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} C(x, \mathcal{F}_n) = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} C(x, \mathcal{K}_n) = C(x)$.

We are now better equipped to prove X is Lindelof. Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . Let \mathcal{O} be the set of all finite subcollections of \mathcal{U} . $\forall n \in \omega$ let $\mathcal{K}_n' = \{H \in \mathcal{K}_n: \text{there is } \mathcal{O}(H) \in \mathcal{O}, \text{ such that } H \subset \cup \mathcal{O}(H)\}$. Let $\mathcal{K}' = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{K}_n'$ and let $\mathcal{V} = \{\cup \mathcal{O}(H): H \in \mathcal{K}'\}$. Then \mathcal{V} is a countable cover for X . Countability of \mathcal{V} is clear. To see \mathcal{V} is a cover, let $x \in X$, then there is $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $C(x) \subset \cup \mathcal{O}$. Since the sequence $\{C(x, \mathcal{K}_n)\}$ is an outer network for $C(x)$, there exists $m \in \omega$ such that $C(x, \mathcal{K}_m) \subset \cup \mathcal{O}$. But there is $H \in \mathcal{K}_m'$ such that $C(x, \mathcal{K}_m) = H$ and $H \in \mathcal{K}_m$, and so there is $\mathcal{O}(H) \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $H \subset \cup \mathcal{O}(H)$. Hence $H \in \mathcal{K}'$ and so \mathcal{V} is a countable cover of X . Let $\mathcal{W} = \{u \in \mathcal{U}: u \in \mathcal{O}(H) \text{ for some } H \in \mathcal{K}'\}$. Then \mathcal{W} is a countable subcover of \mathcal{U} . Thus X is Lindelof.

2.6 Lemma [4]: In a regular, strong Σ -space every point is contained in a Lindelof, closed G_δ -set.

Proof: Let X be a regular, strong Σ -space with $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ a sequence of locally-finite closed covers of X so that $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ is a Σ -net for X . Let $x \in X$. $\forall n \in \omega$ set $U_n(x) = X - \cup[\mathcal{F}_n - (\mathcal{F}_n)_x]$. Clearly $U_n(x)$ is open $\forall n$. Using regularity, we can find a sequence of open neighborhoods of x $\{P_i\}$, such that

$P_1 \subset \bar{P}_1 = U_1(x)$ and $P_n \subset \bar{P}_n = (P_{n-1} \cap U_n(x))$, for $n > 1$.
 Observe that $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} P_n = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \bar{P}_n = P_X$, and so P_X is a closed G_δ -set. $\forall n \in \omega$, let $\mathcal{K}_n = \mathcal{F}_n|_{P_X}$. Then (\mathcal{K}_n) a Σ -net and \mathcal{K}_n is finite, $\forall n \in \omega$. Moreover, $\forall y \in P_X$, $C(y, \mathcal{K}_n) = C(y, \mathcal{F}_n) \cap P_X$. Set $D(y) = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} C(y, \mathcal{K}_n) = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} C(y, \mathcal{F}_n) \cap P_X$. This implies that $D(y)$ is a compact subset of P_X . Using Lemma 2.5 on the subspace P_X , yields that P_X is Lindelof. Hence the theorem.

The following lemma is a generalization of Lemma 2.6.

2.7 Lemma: In a strong Σ -space every countable compact set is contained in a Lindelof, closed G_δ -set.

Proof: Let X be a strong Σ -space, with Σ -net (\mathcal{F}_n) illustrating that X is a strong Σ -space. Let K be a countably compact subset of X . Set $Y = \{(x): x \in X-K\} \cup \{K\}$. Y is the quotient space obtained by identifying K to a point. Topologize Y with the quotient topology. Consider the quotient mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Then f is a quasi-perfect map. By Theorem 2.3 Y is a strong Σ -space. Using Lemma 2.6 there is a Lindelof closed G_δ -set P containing the point $\{K\}$. Denote $f^{-1}(P)$ by Q . Then Q is a closed Lindelof G_δ -subset of X containing K . This completes the proof.

A. Okuyama in [7] introduced a space which is a $\Sigma^\#$ -space but not a Σ^* -space. In Section 3 we give an example of a $\Sigma^{*'}$ -space which is not a Σ^* -space. So we have the following relation:
 Σ^* -space $\Rightarrow \Sigma^{*'}$ -space $\Rightarrow \Sigma^\#$ -space and $\Sigma^{*'}$ -space $\Rightarrow \Sigma^*$ -space. So

$\Sigma^\#$ -space \neq Σ^* -space.

Section 3

3.1 Definitions: A relation R on space X is a neighbornet for X if $R(x) = \{y \in X: (x,y) \in R\}$ is a neighborhood of x , $\forall x \in X$. A sequence $\langle R_n \rangle$ of relations on X is called basic (co-basic) if for each $x \in X$, the sequence $\langle R_n(x) \rangle$ ($\langle R_n^{-1}(x) \rangle$) is a network at x .

H. Junnila in [3] gave the following important result about producing a discrete collection using certain neighbornets.

3.2 Lemma [3]: Let U be a neighbornet of X such that $R = U \cap U^{-1}$ is an equivalence relation and let V be an open neighbornet contained in U . Denote by H the set $\{x \in X: V^{-1}(x) \subset U(x)\}$. Then the family $\{H \cap R(x): x \in X\}$ is closed and discrete.

3.3 Lemma [3]: A space X is semi-stratifiable iff X has a co-basic sequence of neighbornets.

In this section we will give results about getting a σ -discrete collection of closed sets from a σ -closure preserving collection of closed sets. Then we will use that to prove several results about $\Sigma^\#$ -spaces and $\Sigma^{*'}-spaces$.

3.4 Construction: Let \mathcal{X} be a closure-preserving collection of closed sets which cover X . Assume \mathcal{C} is closed under arbitrary intersections. Define the following relations C , K , B and U

on X such that $\forall x \in X, C(x) = \cap\{F: x \in F \in \mathfrak{F}\} = C(x, \mathfrak{F}),$
 $K(x) = \{z \in X: C(x) = C(z)\}, B(x) = \{y \in C(x): C(y) \subseteq C(x)\},$
and $U(x) = \{y \in X: x \in C(y)\} = C^{-1}(x).$ Let $\mathfrak{K} = \{C(x): x \in X\}$
which denote the set of all distinct $C(x, \mathfrak{F})$'s. We note the
following $\forall x \in X,$

- a) $C(x) \in \mathfrak{F}$
- b) If $y \in C(x) \Rightarrow C(y) \subseteq C(x).$
- c) K is an equivalence relation on X and $\forall x \in X,$
 $K(x) \subseteq C(x).$
- d) $B(x)$ is closed and $B(x) = U\{C(y): C(y) \subseteq C(x)\}$
- e) $B(x) \cap K(x) = \emptyset$
- f) $U(x) = U\{K(y): x \in C(y)\}$
- g) $K(x) = U(x) \cap C(x)$
- h) If $y \in U(x),$ then $U(y) \subseteq U(x)$
- i) If $y \in B(x),$ then $U(x) \subseteq U(y)$
- j) Set X be the collection of all distinct members of
 $\{K(x): x \in X\}.$ Index X be some cardinal Γ such
that $X = \{K_\alpha: \alpha < \Gamma\}.$ Since for each K_α there is
a unique C_α such that $K_\alpha = K(x_\alpha) \Rightarrow C(x_\alpha) = C_\alpha.$
Also for each $\alpha < \Gamma,$ define $B(K_\alpha) = B(x_\alpha)$ for some
 $x_\alpha \in K_\alpha.$ Observe that if $(x, x') \in K_\alpha,$ then $B(x) =$
 $B(x').$ We can make the association $\alpha \rightarrow K_\alpha.$ Unlike
the associations $\alpha \rightarrow K_\alpha$ and $\alpha \rightarrow C_\alpha$ which are
1-1, the association $\alpha \rightarrow B(K_\alpha)$ need not be 1-1.
Denote by \mathfrak{B} the set of all distinct members of
 $\{B(K_\alpha): \alpha < \Gamma\}.$

k) Define $\alpha(x) = |\{B \in \mathfrak{B} : x \in B\}|$, and define

$R(x) = \{y \in B(x) : \alpha(y) \geq \omega\}$. Then we observe that

$R(x) = \bigcup \{C(y) : y \in R(x)\}$, and hence $R(x)$ is a closed set.

l) If $y \in C(x)$, then $R(y) \subseteq R(x)$ and if $C(x) = C(y)$ then $R(x) = R(y)$.

m) We will apply the constructions which are described above to each of the covers $\mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{F}_n, \dots$. Thus we define the following:

Let X be a topological space with \mathfrak{F} a σ -closure preserving covers by closed sets i.e., $\mathfrak{F} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathfrak{F}_n$ and each \mathfrak{F}_n is a closure-preserving closed cover of X . We assume that $\mathfrak{F}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_{n+1}$, and \mathfrak{F}_n is closed under arbitrary intersections, $\forall n$. We define the following:

$$\text{For all } n \in \omega \text{ and } x \in X, C_n(x) = \bigcap (\mathfrak{F}_n)_x$$

$$B_n(x) = \bigcup \{C_n(y) : C_n(y) \not\subseteq C_n(x)\}$$

$$K_n(x) = \{y \in C_n(x) : C_n(y) = C_n(x)\}$$

$$U_n(x) = \bigcup \{K_n(y) : x \in C_n(y)\}.$$

Likewise, let $\alpha_n(x), R_n(x), \forall n \in \omega, \forall x \in X$ be defined as in (k).

n) For each $n \in \omega$ set

$$\mathcal{K}_n = \{K_n(x) : x \in X\} = \{K_{n\alpha} : \alpha < \partial_n\}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_n = \{C_n(x) : x \in X\} = \{C_{n\alpha} : \alpha < \partial_n\}$$

$\mathcal{B}_n = \{B_n(x) : x \in X\} = \{B_{n\alpha} : \alpha < \partial_n'\}$ for some
cardinals ∂_n, ∂_n' and $\partial_n' \leq \partial_n$.

- o) $\forall n \in \omega$, and $\forall x \in X$, $C_{n+1}(x) \subset C_n(x)$,
 $U_{n+1}(x) \subset U_n(x)$, and $K_{n+1}(x) \subseteq K_n(x)$. This is
true because $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$.
- p) $\forall x \in X$, $\forall n \in \omega$, $B_n(x) \cap C_{n+1}(x) \subset B_{n+1}(x)$.

Proof: Observe that for any $k \in \omega$,

$$B_k(x) = \{y \in C_k(x) : x \in C_k(y)\}.$$

Thus if $y \in B_n(x) \cap C_{n+1}(x)$, then $x \in C_n(y)$. Since
 $C_{n+1}(y) \subset C_n(y)$, $x \in C_{n+1}(y)$. But $y \in C_{n+1}(x)$ so
 $y \in B_{n+1}(x)$.

3.5 Lemma: Let \mathcal{F} be a closure-preserving closed cover of a
space X . Then the set $Y = \{C(x, \mathcal{F}) : B(x) = \emptyset\}$ is discrete in
 X .

Proof: Let $y \in X$ and let $U_y = X - \cup\{F \in \mathcal{F} : y \in F\}$. Then
 U_y is an open neighborhood of y . Suppose $z \in C(x, \mathcal{F}) \cap U_y$,
then $C(z, \mathcal{F}) = C(x, \mathcal{F})$ because $B(x) = \emptyset$. Evidently $C(y, \mathcal{F}) \subseteq$
 $C(z, \mathcal{F})$ but $B(x) = B(z) = \emptyset$, so $C(y, \mathcal{F}) = C(z, \mathcal{F})$. Hence
 $C(y, \mathcal{F}) = C(x, \mathcal{F})$, i.e., whenever U_y intersects $C(x, \mathcal{F})$ for

$C(y, \mathcal{F}) = C(x, \mathcal{F})$, i.e., whenever U_y intersects $C(x, \mathcal{F})$ for some $x \in X$ we get $C(x, \mathcal{F}) = C(y, \mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow U_y$ intersects at most one member of Y . So Y is discrete.

The following theorem is needed to prove some important results. Let $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}, K$ and $R(x)$ be as defined in 3.4j and 3.4k.

3.6 Theorem: Let X be a perfect space. Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ be two closure preserving covers of closed sets, such that $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2$. Let $P \subset X$, such that $P = \{x \in X: R_2(x) \text{ is covered finitely by } K_1\}$. Then P is closed and can be expressed as the union over a σ -discrete collection of closed sets (K_n) that refines \mathcal{F}_1 and $C_n(x) = C_1(x), \forall x \in P$.

Proof: Recall that a topological space is said to be perfect provided that each of its closed subsets is a G_δ -set. Let $\mathcal{K}_1 = \{K_{1\alpha}: \alpha < \Gamma_1\}$, $\mathcal{K}_2 = \{K_{2\alpha}: \alpha < \Gamma_2\}$. Also let $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{C_{1\alpha}: \alpha < \Gamma_1\}$, and $\mathcal{C}_2 = \{C_{2\alpha}: \alpha < \Gamma_2\}$. And for some cardinals Γ_1', Γ_2' , let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{B_{1\alpha}: \alpha < \Gamma_2'\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{B_{2\alpha}: \alpha < \Gamma_2'\}$. For every $C_{i\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}_i$, let $\{V_k'(C_{i\alpha})\}$ be a decreasing sequence of open sets such that $\bigcap_{k \in \omega} V_k'(C_{i\alpha}) = C_{i\alpha}$, $i = 1, 2$, and for every $B_{i\beta} \in \mathcal{B}_i$, let $\{U_k(B_{i\beta})\}$ be a decreasing sequence of open sets, such that $B_{i\beta} = \bigcap_{k \in \omega} U_k(B_{i\beta})$.

Claim 1: For $i = 1, 2$, $x \in X$, if $\alpha_i(x) < \omega$, then there is a sequence of open sets $\{V_k(C_i(x))\}$ such that $C_i(x) = \bigcap_{k \in \omega} V_k(C_i(x))$. And, if $x \in B_{i\alpha}$ for some α , then $V_k(C_i(x)) \subset$

$U_k(B_{i\alpha}), \forall k.$

Proof of Claim 1: Since $\alpha_i(x) < \omega$, so we can express $(\mathfrak{X}_i)_x$ as $\{B_{i\alpha_1}, \dots, B_{i\alpha_n}\}$. For every $j = 1, \dots, n$ since $x \in B_{i\alpha_j}$, then $C_i(x) \subset B_{i\alpha_j}$ by 3.4d. Note that for every $x \in X$, there is a unique $\alpha < \Gamma_i$ such that $C_{i\alpha} = C_i(x)$. For every $k \in \omega$, define the open set $V_k(C_i(x)) = V_k'(C_{i\alpha}) \cap [\bigcap_{j=1}^n U_k(B_{i\alpha_j})]$. Clearly $\bigcap_{k \in \omega} V_k(C_i(x)) = C_i(x)$. If there is $B_{i\alpha} \in (\mathfrak{X}_i)_x$, then $\alpha = \alpha_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $U_k(B_{i\alpha}) = U_k(B_{i\alpha_j}), \forall k \in \omega$. Thus $C_i(x) \subset U_k(B_{i\alpha}), \forall k \in \omega$.

If $x \in X$ but $\alpha_i(x) \geq \omega$, then there is a unique $\alpha < \Gamma_i$ such that $C_{i\alpha} = C_i(x)$. Let $V_k(C_i(x)) = V_k'(C_{i\alpha}), \forall k \in \omega$.

For every $x \in X$, define the sequence of open sets $\{V_k''(C_2(x))\}$ as follows:

$$V_k''(C_2(x)) = V_k(C_1(x)) \cap V_k(C_2(x)), \forall k \in \omega.$$

$\forall x \in X$, define $W_k(x) = V_k''(C_2(x)) \cap U_2(x), \forall k \in \omega$, where $U_2(x) = \{y \in X : x \in C_2(y)\}$ as defined in 3.4).

Now we show P is closed. Let $x \in P$. Then $R_2(x)$ is covered finitely by X_1 . If $y \in C_2(x)$ then $R_2(y) \subset R_2(x)$. So $R_2(y)$ is covered finitely by X_1 . Thus $y \in P$. Hence $P = \bigcup \{C_2(x) : x \in P\}$ which is a closed subset of X .

Claim 2: For every $x \in P$, there is $k \in \omega$ such that $W_k^{-1} \subset U_1(x)$, where $W_k^{-1}(x) = \{y \in X: x \in W_k(y)\}$.

Proof of Claim 2: For every $x \in X$, and $k \in \omega$, $W_k(x) \subset U_1(x)$. So $W_k^{-1}(x) \subset C_1(x)$. Let $x \in P$. We will show $\exists k \in \omega$ such that $W_k^{-1}(x) \subset U_1(x)$. We need to show that there is $k \in \omega$ so that $\forall y \in B_1(x)$, then $x \in W_k(y)$. Let $y \in B_1(x)$. We have three cases:

Case 1. Suppose $y \in B_2(x) \cap B_1(x) - R_2(x)$. Then $\alpha_2(y) < \omega$. By claim 1, $V_k''(C_2(x)) \subset U_k(B_2(x))$, $\forall k \in \omega$. But there is $k_0 \in \omega$ such that $x \in U_{k_0}(B_2(x))$. So $x \in V_{k_0}''(C_2(y))$. So $\forall y \in B_2(x) \cap B_1(x) - R_2(x)$, $x \in V_{k_0}''(C_2(y))$.

Case 2. Suppose $y \in R_2(x) \cap B_1(x)$. Since $R_2(x)$ is finitely covered by K_1 , then $R_2(x) \cap B_1(x)$ is covered minimally by $K' = \{K_{1\alpha_1}, \dots, K_{1\alpha_s}\}$. We observe that $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^s C_{1\alpha_i}$. So $\forall i$, $i = 1, \dots, s$, $\exists k_i \in \omega$ such that $x \in V_{k_i}''(C_{1\alpha_i})$. Since for every $z \in K_{1\alpha_i}$, $C_1(z) = C_{1\alpha_i}$ and $V_{k_i}''(C_1(z)) = V_{k_i}''(C_{1\alpha_i})$, so $x \in V_{k_i}''(C_1(z))$, $\forall z \in K_{1\alpha_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, s$. Let $k = \max(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_s)$. $\forall y \in R_2(x) \cap B_1(x)$, $x \in V_k''(C_1(y))$. This is true because the sequence $(V_k''(c))$ is a decreasing sequence $\forall c \in \mathcal{E}_2$.

Case 3. For $y \in B_1(x) - B_2(x)$, then $x \in U_2(y)$. So $x \in W_k(y)$, $\forall k \in \omega$.

Then Claim 2 is proven.

For every $n \in \omega$, let $H_n = \{x \in X' : W_n^{-1}(x) \subset U_1(x)\}$. Since $W_n(y) \subset U_2(y) \subset U_1(y)$, $\forall y \in X$, then by Lemma 3.2, the collection $\mathcal{K}_n = \{H_n \cap K_{1\alpha} : \alpha < \Lambda_1\}$ is a discrete collection of closed sets refining \mathcal{F}_1 . Since $\forall x \in X'$, $\exists n$ such that $x \in H_n$, then $X' = \bigcup_n H_n$. Thus X' is expressed as a σ -discrete collection of closed sets refining \mathcal{F}_1 .

3.7 Lemma: Let \mathcal{F} be a heriditarily closure preserving cover by closed sets for the space X . Let \mathcal{X} be the partition associated with \mathcal{F} . Let $Y = \{X_i : i \in \omega\}$ be an infinite subset of X such that $\forall i \in \omega$, $x_i \in K_{\alpha_i} \in \mathcal{X}$ and $K_{\alpha_i} \neq K_{\alpha_j}$ iff $i \neq j$. Then Y contains an infinite discrete subset.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{F}' = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} (\mathcal{F})_{x_i}$. Then $|\mathcal{F}'| \geq \omega$, for if \mathcal{F}' is finite then the number of distinct C_α 's that can be derived from \mathcal{F}' is finite. Since there is a 1-1 correspondence between \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{C} , the number od distinct K_α 's that can be derived from \mathcal{F}' is also finite. Thus $|\mathcal{F}'| < \omega$ which is not possible, so $|\mathcal{F}'| \geq \omega$. To complete the proof, we consider two cases.

Case 1. If $\left| \{X_i : |(\mathcal{F})_{x_i}| < \omega\} \right| \geq \omega$ then we can produce a

subsequence $G = \{X_{i_j} \in Y : j \in \omega\}$ such that $\forall j \in \omega, F_j \in \mathcal{F}'$,
and $x_{i_j} \in F_j$ so that if $j \neq j', i_j \neq i_{j'}$, and $F_j \neq F_{j'}$.

Then G is a discrete infinite subset of Y .

Case 2. If $\left| \{x_i \in Y : |(\mathcal{F})_{x_i}| < \omega\} \right| < \omega$, then we have for

infinitely many $x_i \in Y$, $(\mathcal{F})_{x_i}$ is an infinite collection. Here

also we can choose a sequence of points $(x_{i_j} : j \in \omega)$ in Y

such that $x_{i_j} \neq x_{i_{j'}}$, if $i_j \neq i_{j'}$ and $\forall j \in \omega, \exists F_j \in \mathcal{F}'$

such that $x_{i_j} \in F_j$ and $F_j \neq F_{j'}$ if $i_j \neq i_{j'}$. As in Case 1,

G is a discrete infinite subset of Y .

3.8 Lemma: Let X be a $\Sigma^{*'}\text{-space}$ with (\mathcal{X}_n) the associated σ -heriditarily closure preserving collections of closed sets. For every $x \in X$, and every $n \in \omega$, there is $m \in \omega$ such that $B_m(x)$ is finitely covered by members of \mathcal{X}_n .

Proof: Let $x \in X, n \in \omega$. Assume for every $m \in \omega, B_m(x)$ is not covered by any finite subcollection of $\mathcal{X}_n. \exists K_{n\alpha_1} \in \mathcal{X}_n$

with $x_1 \in B_1(x) \cap K_{n\alpha_1}$. Also $\exists K_{n\alpha_2} \in \mathcal{X}_n$ with

$x_2 \in B_2(x) \cap K_{n\alpha_2}$ and $K_{n\alpha_1} \neq K_{n\alpha_2}$. So by induction there is

$K_{n\alpha_m} \in \mathcal{X}_n$ and $K_{n\alpha_i} \neq K_{n\alpha_m} \quad \forall i < m$, such that

$x_m \in B_m(x) \cap K_{n\alpha_m}$. Set $Y = \{x_i : i \in \omega\}$. Then by Lemma 3.7

Y has an infinite discrete subset $G = \{x_{i_j} \in Y : j \in \omega\}$. On the

other hand $x_{i_j} \in C_{i_j}(x)$, $\forall j \in \omega$. Since X is a Σ^{*} -space,

the set G must have a cluster point. A contradiction. Thus

$\exists m \in \omega$ such that $B_m(x)$ is finitely covered in \mathcal{X}_n .

3.9 Theorem: Let X be a perfect Σ^{*} -space. Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ be a σ -hereditarily closure preserving collection of closed sets illustrating that X is a Σ^{*} -space. For $n, m \in \omega$, $m > n$, set $X_{nm} = \{x \in X : B_m(x) \text{ is finitely covered by } \mathcal{X}_n\}$. Then the followings are true:

1. X_{nm} is closed, $\forall n, m \in \omega$, and can be expressed as a union of a σ -discrete collection of closed sets that refines \mathcal{F}_n .
2. $X = \bigcup_{m \in \omega} X_{nm}$, $\forall n \in \omega$.
3. X is a Σ -space.

Proof: 1) Let $m, n \in \omega$, and $m > n$. We observe that $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_m$, and if $B_m(x)$ is finitely covered by \mathcal{X}_n , then $R_m(x)$ is also covered finitely by \mathcal{X}_n . Then $X_{nm} \subset X_{nm}'$, where $X_{nm}' = \{x \in X : R_m(x) \text{ is covered finitely by } \mathcal{X}_n\}$. But X_{nm} is closed, since if $x \in X_{nm}$ then $\forall y \in C_m(x)$, $B_m(y)$ is finitely covered by \mathcal{X}_n . So $C_m(x) \subset X_{nm}$ i.e., $X_{nm} =$

$\cup \{C_m(x) : x \in X_{nm}\}$. By Theorem 3.6 $X_{nm}' = \bigcup_{k \in \omega} (\cup \mathcal{F}_{nmk})$, where \mathcal{F}_{nmk} is discrete collection of closed sets that refines \mathcal{F}_n , $\forall k \in \omega$. $\forall k \in \omega$, set $\mathcal{X}_{nmk} = \mathcal{F}_{nmk}|X_{nm}$. Then $X_{nm} = \bigcup_{k \in \omega} (\cup \mathcal{X}_{nmk})$ and \mathcal{X}_{nmk} is a discrete collection of closed sets and $\mathcal{X}_{nmk} < \mathcal{F}_n$.

2) Let $x \in X$, we will show $\forall n \in \omega$, there exists $m \in \omega$, such that $x \in X_{nm}$. Let $n \in \omega$, by Lemma 3.8 there is $m' \in \omega$ such that $B_{m'}(x)$ is finitely covered by \mathcal{X}_n . Thus $x \in X_{nm'}$. Hence $X = \bigcup_{m \in \omega} X_{nm}$.

3) For every $i, m \in \omega$, let $\{\mathcal{X}_{imk}\}$ be a sequence of discrete collections derived as a result of part 1 of this theorem. For every $n \in \omega$, define \mathcal{S}_n as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \{H \in \mathcal{X}_{imk} : 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq m \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq n\} \cup \{X\}.$$

Then \mathcal{S}_n is a locally finite cover of closed sets, $\forall n \in \omega$. Moreover $\{\mathcal{S}_n\}$ is a Σ -net for X . This can be seen if we let $x \in X$, and let $x_n \in C(x, \mathcal{S}_n) = C_n(x)$. Let $C_n'(x) = C(x, \mathcal{S}_n)$. There exists $N \in \omega$ such that $\forall n \geq N, C_n(x) \subset C_n'(x)$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ clusters. So $\{\mathcal{S}_n\}$ is a Σ -net for X . Then X is a Σ -space.

3.10 Theorem: Let X be a $\Sigma^\#$ -space with a point countable pseudobase \mathcal{U} . For every $n, m \in \omega$, set $X_{nm} = \{x \in X : B_m(x) \text{ is finitely covered by } \mathcal{X}_n\}$. Set $X' = \{x \in X : \forall n \in \omega, \text{ there}$

is $m \in \omega$ such that $x \in X_{nm}$. Then X' can be expressed as a union of σ -locally finite collection $\{X_n\}$, such that for each $n \in \omega$, $\exists m \in \omega$ with $X_m < X_n$.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{S}_n$ be the associated σ -closure preserving collection of closed sets illustrating that X is a $\Sigma^\#$ -space. For every $n \in \omega$, let $\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{S}_n$ be the collections associated with \mathcal{S}_n , as defined in 3.4.

Without loss of generality assume U is closed under finite intersections, and $X \in U$.

For every $n \in \omega$, and every $C_{n\alpha} \in \mathcal{E}_n$, define the collection $\mathcal{W}_{n\alpha} = \{U_{n\alpha}' \subset U : U_{n\alpha}' \text{ is a finite minimal cover for } C_{n\alpha}\}$. By a well-known result in [5] $\mathcal{W}_{n\alpha}$ is countable. So set

$\mathcal{W}_{n\alpha} = \{U_{n\alpha}^i : i \in \omega\}$. For every $k \in \omega$ define the open set

$V_k'(C_{n\alpha}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k (U_{n\alpha}^i)$. For every $x \in X$, there is a unique

$\alpha < \gamma_n$, such that $x \in K_{n\alpha} = C_{n\alpha}$. So define $V_k'(C_n(x)) =$

$V_k'(C_{n\alpha})$. Let $V_k(C_n(x)) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_k'(C_i(x))$, where $V_k(C_1(x)) =$

$V_k'(C_1(x))$, $\forall x \in X$, $k \in \omega$, $n \in \omega$.

For every $x \in X$, $i, \ell, k \in \omega$, $i < \ell$, we define the following open set $W_{i\ell k}(x) = U_\ell(x) \cap V_k(C_i(x))$.

Claim: Let $x \in X'$, let $n \in \omega$. Then there are $i, \ell, k \in \omega$ such that $x \in W_{i\ell k}(y)$, $\forall y \in B_n(x)$.

Proof of Claim: Let $x \in X'$, $n \in \omega$ consider $B_n(x) \cap C(x)$. $B_n(x) \cap C(x)$ is a compact closed set. Hence $B_n(x) \cap C(x)$ has a finite minimal cover \mathcal{O} such that $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{U}$ and $x \in \mathcal{O} = \cup \mathcal{O}$. $\mathcal{O} \cup U_n(x) = C(x)$. So $\exists M \in \omega$ such that $C_j(x) \subset \mathcal{O} \cup U_n(x)$, $\forall j \geq M$. Let $i = \max\{n, M\}$, then \mathcal{O} is a finite minimum cover for $B_i(x) \cap B_n(x)$. Since $x \in X'$, there is $\ell \in \omega$, $\ell \geq i$ such that $x \in X_{i\ell}$ i.e., $B_\ell(x)$ is finitely covered by X_i . Let $K_{i\alpha_1}, \dots, K_{i\alpha_s}$ be a minimal collection covering $B_\ell(x) \cap B_n(x)$. $C_{i\alpha_q} = B_i(x) \cap B_n(x)$ for $q = 1, \dots, s$. There is a finite minimum subfamily \mathcal{O}_q such that $\mathcal{O}_q \subset \mathcal{O}$ and $C_{i\alpha_q} \subset \cup \mathcal{O}_q$, $\forall q = 1, 2, \dots, s$. So $\mathcal{O}_q \in \mathcal{W}_{i\alpha_q}$, $\forall q = 1, \dots, s$, and there is $k_q \in \omega$ with $\bigvee_{k_q} (C_{i\alpha_q}) \subset \cup \mathcal{O}_q$. Let $k = \max\{k_1, \dots, k_s\}$. Then $x \in \bigvee_k (C_{i\alpha_q})$, $\forall q = 1, \dots, s$. Thus for every $y \in B_n(x)$, if $y \in B_\ell(x) \Rightarrow x \in U_\ell(y)$. And if $y \in B_\ell(x) \cap B_n(x)$, there is a unique $q \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ such that $y \in K_{i\alpha_q} = C_{i\alpha_q}$. So $x \in \bigvee_k (C_{i\alpha_q})$. Thus $\forall y \in B_n(x)$, $x \in W_{i\ell k}(y)$. Hence the claim.

In the proof of the claim, we observe that $W_{i\ell k}(x) = U_\ell(x) \subset U_i(x) \subset U_n(x)$. $\forall n, i, \ell, k \in \omega$, such that $n \leq i \leq \ell$ set $H_{n i \ell k} = \{x \in X' : W_{i\ell k}^{-1}(x) \subset U_n(x)\}$. Observe that $X' = \bigcup_{i, \ell, k \in \omega} H_{n i \ell k}$. For every $n, i, \ell, k \in \omega$, $n \leq i \leq \ell$, set $\mathcal{X}_{n i \ell k} = (H_{n i \ell k} \cap K_{n\alpha} : \alpha < \gamma_n)$. Observe that $\mathcal{X}_{n i \ell k} < \mathcal{S}_n$, $\forall n, i, \ell, k \in \omega$, $n \leq i \leq \ell$. By Lemma 3.2 $\mathcal{X}_{n i \ell k}$ is a discrete collection of

(relative) closed subsets of X' . $\forall m \in \omega$, let $\mathcal{X}_m =$
 $(H \in \mathcal{X}_{niltk}: n + i + l + k \leq m, \text{ for every } n, i, l, k \in \omega)$. Let
 \mathcal{X}_m be closed under arbitrary intersection and $\mathcal{X}_m \subset \mathcal{X}_{m+1}$. (\mathcal{X}_m)
is a sequence of locally finite collections of (relative to X')
closed sets in X' . We observe that the sequence (\mathcal{X}_m) refines
the sequence (\mathcal{C}_n) , and if for some $x \in X$, $\exists x_m \in C(x, \mathcal{X}_m)$,
 $\forall m \in \omega$, then the sequence (x_m) clusters in X .

3.11 Corollary: Every $\Sigma^{*'}\text{-space}$ with a point countable
pseudobase is a $\sigma\text{-space}$.

Proof: Let X be a $\Sigma^{\#'}\text{-space}$ with a point countable pseudobase
 \mathcal{U} , then by Theorem 3.9, $\bigcup_{m \in \omega} X_{nm} = X$, for every $n \in \omega$. So
using the terminology of Theorem 3.10, $X' = X$. Hence X is a
 $\Sigma\text{-space}$. By theorem 1.1 in [8] X is a $\sigma\text{-space}$.

Several important and historical results about $\sigma\text{-spaces}$,
semi-stratifiable spaces and stratifiable spaces can be proven
using the ideas of this paper. Examples of such theorems are:

1. "Negata and Sewiec [9]: For a topological space
 X , the following are equivalent:
 - i) X has a $\sigma\text{-closure}$ preserving network of closed
sets.
 - ii) X has a $\sigma\text{-discrete}$ network of closed sets.
2. "If X is a semi-stratifiable $\Sigma^{\#}\text{-space}$, then X is
a $\sigma\text{-space}$." To prove this use Lemma 3.3.

3. "T. Shiraki [8]. If X is a $\Sigma^{\#}$ -space and $\sigma^{\#}$ -space then X is a σ -space."
4. "R. Heath [2]: If X is a stratifiable space then it is a σ -space."

3.12 Example: Let $A = P(\omega) =$ power set of the set of natural numbers. Add one distinct point to A so the space $X = A \cup \{\alpha\}$ with the topology on X be defined as follows: $\{x\}$ is open for every $x \in A$ and U is a neighborhood of α iff $X - U$ is countable. X is a Σ^{*} '-space which is not a Σ^* -space. To show that X is a Σ^{*} '-space we will produce a σ -hereditarily closure preserving collection of closed sets which is a Σ -net.

$\forall a \in A, a$ is a subset of ω . For each $k \in \omega$ define $F_k = \{a \in A: k \in a\} \cup \{\alpha\}$, $E_k = \{a \in A: k \notin a\} \cup \{\alpha\}$. Clearly each F_k, E_k is closed in X . Let $\mathcal{F} = \{E_k, F_k: k \in \omega\}$. Then clearly \mathcal{F} is a hereditarily closure-preserving collection of closed sets. Moreover $\forall a \in A, C(a, \mathcal{F}) = \bigcap \{F \in \mathcal{F}: a \in F\} = \{a, \alpha\}$, and $C(\alpha, \mathcal{F}) = \{\alpha\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{F}, \forall i \in \omega$, then $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ is a sequence of hereditarily closure-preserving collections of closed sets which is a Σ -net. So X is a Σ^{*} '-space. In [7] it was shown that X is not a Σ^* -space. W. Fleissner gave the following lemma in a private letter sent to me in December 1984. I will use it to give a sufficient condition for a Σ^{*} '-space to be a Σ^* -space.

3.13 Lemma (Fleissner): Let \mathcal{F} be a hereditarily closure

preserving collection of closed subsets of a space X of countable tightness. Then the collection \mathcal{E} (as defined in 3.4) is hereditarily closure preserving.

Proof: Assume not, that $\mathcal{E} = \{E_C : C \in \mathcal{E}'\}$ is a family of closed sets such that $E_C \subset C$, $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}$ and $z \in \overline{U\mathcal{E}} - U\mathcal{E}$. By countable tightness, we may assume that \mathcal{E} is countable. For $E \in \mathcal{E}$,

define $\mathcal{F}(E) = \{F \in \mathcal{F} : E \subset F\}$. Set $\mathcal{E}_0 = \{E \in \mathcal{E} : |\mathcal{F}(E)| \geq \omega\}$,

$\mathcal{E}_1 = \{E \in \mathcal{E} : |\mathcal{F}(E)| < \omega\}$. Let $f: \mathcal{E}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be one-to-one such

that $f(E) \in \mathcal{F}(E)$. Enumerate $U\{\mathcal{F}(E) : E \in \mathcal{E}_1\}$ as $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$.

For $E \in \mathcal{E}_1$ set $f(E) = F_n$ where $n = \max\{i : E \subset F_i\}$. Then

$\{U\{E \in \mathcal{E} : f(E) = F\} : F \in \text{range of } f\}$ demonstrates that \mathcal{F} is

not hereditarily closure preserving.

3.14 Theorem: A $\Sigma^{*'}\text{-space}$ with countable tightness is $\Sigma^*\text{-space}$.

Proof: Let X be a $\Sigma^{*'}\text{-space}$ with countable tightness. Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ be a sequence of hereditarily closure preserving collections of closed sets illustrating that X is a $\Sigma^{*'}\text{-space}$. By lemma 3.13, $\forall n$, \mathcal{E}_n is hereditarily closure preserving closed collection. Moreover, if U is an open set containing $C(x)$ for some $x \in X$, then because $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ is a $\Sigma\text{-net}$ for X ,

$C(x)$ for some $x \in X$, then because (\mathcal{F}_n) is a Σ -net for X , there is $k \in \omega$ such that $C(x, \mathcal{F}_k) \subseteq U$. But $C(x, \mathcal{F}_k) \in \mathcal{E}_k$, and $C(x)$ is countable compact. Then X is Σ^* -space.

Some questions relating to this subject are still open.

Here are some of them:

Question 1: Is every $\Sigma^\#$ -space which has a point countable pseudobase a $\Sigma^{*'}$ -space?

Question 2: Is every $\Sigma^\#$ -space which is perfect a $\Sigma^{*'}$ -space?

Bibliography

- [1] R. Engelking. General Topology. PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsjawa, 1977.
- [2] R.W. Heath and R.E. Hodel. Characterization of σ -spaces. Fund. Math., 77, 271-275, 1973.
- [3] H. Junnila, Neighbornets. Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol.76, No.1, 1978.
- [4] E. Michael. On Nagami's Σ -space and some related matters. Proceeding Washing State University Conference on Topology, 1970, 13-19.
- [5] A.S. Miscenko. Spaces with a point-wise denumerable basis. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 145, 985-988, 1962 (Soviet Math. Dokl, 3, 855-858, 1962).
- [6] K. Nagami. Σ -spaces. Fund. Math. IXV, 169-192, 1969.
- [7] O. Okuyama. On a generalization of Σ -spaces. Pacific Journal of Math. Vol.42, No.2, 485-495, 1972.
- [8] T. Shiraki. M-spaces, their generalization and metrization theorems. Sci. Rep. Tokyo Koyoiko Daigaku Sect. All, 1971, 57-67.
- [9] J. Negata and F. Sciviec. A note on nets and metrization. Proceeding Japan Acad. 44, 1968.