



King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals

**DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES**

---

Technical Report Series

TR 124

October 1991

**Interpolation Mixed with  $I_s$  - Approximation**

M.A. Bokhari

## Interpolation Mixed with $l_2$ -Approximation

M.A. Bokhari

### ABSTRACT

We consider  $sgn$  roots of unity and define a class  $\mathcal{R}_N^c(U_s, f)$  of rational functions which interpolate a given analytic function  $f$  on  $U_s$ , a large subset of the roots of unity satisfying a congruence relation.  $f$  is then approximated over  $\mathcal{R}_N^c(f, U_s)$  with respect to  $l_2$ -norm on the complement of  $U_s$ . We also discuss Walsh type equiconvergence.

**Key Words:** Analytic functions, Lagrange interpolant,  $l_2$ -minimization, Equiconvergence.

**AMS Classification:** 30E10, 41A20

# 1 Introduction

Let  $\pi_s$  denote the family of all polynomials of degree  $\leq s$ , and let  $L_{n-1}(z, f) \in \pi_{n-1}$  denote the Lagrange interpolant to a function  $f$  analytic in the region  $|z| < \eta, \eta > 1$ , at the  $n$  roots of  $z^n = 1$ . It is well-known [7] that the best  $l_2$ -approximant to  $f$  from  $\pi_{n-1}$  over the set of the  $n$  zeros of  $z^n - 1$  is  $L_{n-1}(z, f)$ . During the last decade several papers have appeared on discrete least squares minimization problems considered over a large set of the primitive roots of unity. In [3] Rivlin noted that the  $(n-1)$ th degree polynomial which solves the problem

$$\min_{p \in \pi_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{qn-1} |f(\omega^k) - p(\omega^k)|^2, \quad \omega^{qn} = 1, q \geq 1 \quad (1.1)$$

is essentially  $S_{n-1}[z, L_{qn-1}(z, f)]$ , the  $(n-1)$ th degree Taylor section of the polynomial  $L_{qn-1}(z, f)$ . In a different direction Sharma and Ziegler considered the following question [4]:

If  $\mathcal{L}(f, U_s)$  denote the class of all polynomials of degree  $\leq nq(s-1) + n-1$  interpolating  $f$  at the set

$$U_s = \{\omega^\nu : \nu = 1, 2, \dots, sqn; \nu \not\equiv 0 \pmod{s}; \omega^{sqn} = 1\}, \quad (1.2)$$

find the solution to the problem

$$\min_{Q \in \mathcal{L}(f, U_s)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{qn-1} |f(\lambda^\nu) - Q(\lambda^\nu)|^2, \quad \lambda^{sqn} = 1, \lambda \notin U_s. \quad (1.3)$$

They discovered that the solution  $Q_n^*(z, f) \in \mathcal{L}(f, U_s)$  to (1.3) is given by

$$Q_n^*(z, f) = L^*(z, f) + W_s(z)S_{n-1}[z, L_{qn-1}(z, g)] \quad (1.4)$$

where  $L^*(z, f)$  is the Lagrange interpolant of degree  $nq(s-1) - 1$  to  $f$  on  $U_s$ ,  $g(z) := s^{-1}[f(z) - L^*(z, f)]$  and

$$W_s(z) = (z^{sqn} - 1)/(z^{qn} - 1). \quad (1.5)$$

The aim of the present note is two fold. First, we develop a variant of minimization problem (1.3) replacing  $\mathcal{L}(f, U_s)$  by a class of certain interpolatory rational functions. The second problem to be discussed here is related to Walsh-type equiconvergence. This topic has attracted many mathematicians in the last decade. For the background we refer the reader to [2] - [6].

## 2 Preliminaries and Statement of Problems

We denote by  $A_\rho, 1 < \rho < \infty$ , the class of functions analytic in  $|z| < \rho$  with at least one singularity on  $|z| = \rho$ , and set

$$N = qn(s-1) \quad \text{and} \quad N^* = N + n + m \quad (2.1)$$

where  $s \geq 1, q \geq 1$  and  $m \geq -1$  are fixed integers. For a given  $\sigma > 1$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, n}^\sigma$  denote the class of the rational functions  $r(z)$  of the form

$$r(z) = p(z)/(z^n - \sigma^n), \quad p \in \pi_\nu. \quad (2.2)$$

With the set  $U_s$  defined in (1.2) and an  $f \in A_\rho$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_{\nu,n}^\sigma(f, U_s)$  denote the subclass of the rational functions  $r \in \mathcal{R}_{\nu,n}^\sigma$  which interpolate  $f$  on  $U_s$ .

We shall consider the following problems:

(P1) For a given  $f \in A_\rho, \rho > 1$ , find the rational function  $R_{N^*,n}^*(z, f) \in \mathcal{R}_{N^*,n}^\sigma(f, U_s)$  which solves the problem

$$R \in \mathcal{R}_{N^*,n}^{\sigma_{\min}}(f, U_s) \sum_{\nu=0}^{q_{n-1}} |f(\lambda^\nu) - R(\lambda^\nu)|^2, \quad \lambda^{q_n} = 1, \quad \lambda \notin U_s \quad (2.3)$$

(P2) If  $r_{N^*,n}(z, f) \in \mathcal{R}_{N^*,n}^\sigma$  minimizes  $f \in A_\rho$  on  $|z| = 1$  in the  $L_2$ -sense over the class  $\mathcal{R}_{N^*,n}^\sigma$  ([5], (1.4)) and if  $R_{N^*,n}^*(z, f)$  is the solution of (P1), what is the region of convergence of the difference

$$R_{N^*,n}^*(z, f) - r_{N^*,n}(z, f) \quad (2.4)$$

to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ?

**Remark 2.1.** When  $s = 1$ , the solutions to the problems (P1) and (P2) are provided in [1]. For the justification, it is enough to note that the set  $U_s$  which consists of the zeros of  $W_s(z)$  is empty for  $s = 1$ .

### 3 Solution of $(P_1)$

In order to solve the problem  $(P_1)$ , we need an expression for the Lagrange interpolant of the function

$$h(z) := s^{-1}[f(z) - R_{N-1,n}^*(z, f)] \quad (3.1)$$

where  $R_{N-1,n}^*(z, f)$  is the rational function in  $\mathcal{R}_{N-1,n}^\sigma$  which interpolates  $f$  on  $U_s$ . More precisely, we need the following Lemma:

**Lemma 3.1** *If  $s \geq 2$  and  $q \geq 1$  are fixed integers and if*

$$L_{nq-1}(z, h) = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} c_{\nu+jn} z^{\nu+jn} \quad (3.2)$$

*is the Lagrange polynomial of degree  $qn - 1$  which interpolates the function  $h(z)$  at the roots of  $z^{qn} = 1$ , then*

$$c_{\nu+jn} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \left[ \frac{\lambda_0(q)t^{n-\nu-1}}{\sigma^{(j+1)n}} + \frac{t^{(q-j)n-\nu-1}}{t^{qn} - 1} \right] \frac{f(t)}{W_s(t)} dt, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a circle  $|t| = \eta$ ,  $1 < \eta < \rho$  and

$$\lambda_0(q) := \sigma^{qn}/(1 - \sigma^{qn}). \quad (3.4)$$

**Proof.** In order to establish (3.4), we first find  $L_{nq-1}(z, R_{N-1,n}^*(z, f))$ . Since

$$R_{N-1,n}^*(z, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{(t^n - \sigma^n)}{W_s(t)} \cdot \frac{G(t, z)}{z^n - \sigma^n} f(t) dt. \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$G(t, z) := \frac{W_s(t) - W_s(z)}{t - z},$$

it is enough to evaluate  $L_{qn-1}(z, \frac{G(t,z)}{z^n - \sigma^n})$ . It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& L_{qn-1}(z, G(t, z)) \\
&= \sum_{\nu=0}^{s-1} L_{qn-1}(z, \frac{t^{\nu qn} - z^{\nu qn}}{t - z}) = \frac{t^{qn} - z^{qn}}{t - z} \sum_{\nu=0}^{s-1} \frac{t^{\nu qn} - 1}{t^{qn} - 1} \\
&= \frac{t^{qn} - z^{qn}}{t - z} \left[ \frac{t^{sqn} - 1}{(t^{qn} - 1)^2} - \frac{s}{t^{qn} - 1} \right] \\
&= \frac{t^{qn} - z^{qn}}{t - z} \left[ \frac{W_s(t)}{t^{qn} - 1} - \frac{s}{t^{qn} - 1} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$L_{qn-1}(z, \frac{G(t, z)}{z^n - \sigma^n}) = S_1(t, z) - S_2(t, z)$$

where we have set

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
S_1(t, z) &= \frac{W_s(t)}{t^{qn} - 1} L_{qn-1}(z, \frac{t^{qn} - z^{qn}}{t - z} \cdot \frac{1}{z^n - \sigma^n}) \\
S_2(t, z) &= \frac{s}{t^{qn} - 1} L_{qn-1}(z, \frac{t^{qn} - z^{qn}}{t - z} \cdot \frac{1}{z^n - \sigma^n}).
\end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.6)$$

It follows from (3.5) that

$$L_{qn-1}(z, R_{N-1, n}^*(z, f)) = I_1(z) - I_2(z) \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$I_1(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{(t^n - \sigma^n)f(t)}{W_s(t)} S_1(t, z) dt,$$

and

$$I_2(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{(t^n - \sigma^n)f(t)}{W_s(t)} S_2(t, z) dt.$$

Since

$$\frac{(t^{qn} - z^{qn})(t^n - \sigma^n)}{(t - z)(z^n - \sigma^n)} = \frac{t^{qn} - z^{qn}}{t - z} + \frac{(t^n - z^n)(t^{qn} - z^{qn})}{(t - z)(z^n - \sigma^n)},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(t)(t^{qn} - z^{qn})}{(t-z)(t^{qn} - 1)} dt \\
&+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(t)}{t^{qn} - 1} L_{qn-1} \left( z, \frac{(t^{qn} - z^{qn})(t^n - z^n)}{(t-z)(z^n - \sigma^n)} \right) dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

The second integral in (3.8) vanishes because

$$\frac{f(t)}{t^{qn} - 1} L_{qn-1} \left( z, \frac{(t^{qn} - z^{qn})(t^n - z^n)}{(t-z)(z^n - \sigma^n)} \right) = f(t) L_{qn-1} \left( z, \frac{t^n - z^n}{(t-z)(z^n - \sigma^n)} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$I_1(z) = L_{qn-1}(z, f). \tag{3.9}$$

Similarly,

$$I_2(z) = I_3(z) + I_4(z) \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3(z) &= \frac{s}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(t)}{t^{sqn} - 1} L_{qn-1} \left( z, \frac{t^{qn} - z^{qn}}{t-z} \right) dt \\
&= \frac{s}{2\pi i} \sum_{\nu=0}^{qn-1} z^{\nu} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(t)}{t^{\nu+1}} \cdot \frac{t^{qn}}{t^{sqn} - 1} dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$I_4(z) = \frac{s}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(t)}{t^{sqn} - 1} L_{qn-1} \left( z, \frac{(t^{qn} - z^{qn})(t^n - z^n)}{(t-z)(z^n - \sigma^n)} \right) dt$$

Since  $L_{qn-1}(z, \frac{1}{z^n - \sigma^n}) = \frac{\sigma^{qn-n}}{1 - \sigma^{qn}} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \frac{z^{jn}}{\sigma^{jn}}$ , it is easy to see that

$$I_4(z) = \frac{s}{2\pi i} \lambda_0(q) \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(t)}{W_s(t)} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^{n-\nu-1} z^{jn+\nu}}{\sigma^{nj+n}} dt \tag{3.12}$$



where  $\lambda_0(q)$  is defined in (3.4). Combining (3.7) with (3.9) – (3.10) we obtain

$$L_{qn-1}(z, R_{N-1,n}^*(z, f)) = L_{qn-1}(z, f) - I_3(z) - I_4(z).$$

Using (3.1), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{qn-1}(z, h) &= s^{-1}[L_{qn-1}(z, f) - L_{qn-1}(z, R_{N-1,n}^*(z, f))] \\ &= s^{-1}[I_3(z) + I_4(z)]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the substitution of the values of  $I_3(z)$  and  $I_4(z)$  from (3.11) - (3.12) in the above relation establishes the equation (3.2) for which the coefficients are given in (3.3).  $\square$

Now we proceed to determine solution of the problem  $(P_1)$ . First we note that any rational function  $R(z) \in \mathcal{R}_{N^*,n}^\sigma(f, U_s)$  can be expressed as

$$R(z) = R_{N-1,n}^*(z, f) + W_s(z)B(z)$$

for some  $B(z) \in \mathcal{R}_{n+m,n}^\sigma$ . Here  $R_{N-1,n}^*(z, f)$  is the rational function used in (3.1). Since  $W_s(\lambda^\nu) = s$  for any solution  $\lambda$  of  $z^{*qn} = 1$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(\lambda^\nu) - R(\lambda^\nu)|^2 \\ &= |f(\lambda^\nu) - R_{N-1,n}^*(\lambda^\nu, f) - sB(\lambda^\nu)|^2 \\ &= |sh(\lambda^\nu) - sB(\lambda^\nu)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the problem  $(P_1)$  is equivalent to minimizing

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{qn-1} |h(\omega^\nu) - B(\omega^\nu)|^2, \quad \omega^{qn} = 1, \quad (3.13)$$

over all rational functions  $B \in \mathcal{R}_{n+m,n}^\sigma$ . This problem had been solved by the author in [1]. In fact, if  $L_{q^{n-1}}(z, h) := \sum_{\nu=0}^{q^n-1} c_\nu z^\nu$  then ([1], Proposition 1)

$$B_{n+m,n}^*(z, h) := \sum_{\nu=0}^{n+m} \tau_\nu z^\nu / (z^n - \sigma^n) \quad (3.14)$$

will be the minimizer of (3.13) over  $\mathcal{R}_{n+m,n}^\sigma$  where

$$\tau_\nu = \begin{cases} -c_\nu \sigma^n + \frac{\sigma^{(q-1)n}(1-\sigma^{2n})}{1-\sigma^{(q-1)2n}} \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \sigma^{-jn} c_{\nu+jn}, & 0 \leq \nu \leq m \\ \frac{\sigma^{(q-1)n}(1-\sigma^{2n})}{1+\sigma^{qn}} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sigma^{-jn} c_{\nu+jn}, & m+1 \leq \nu \leq n-1 \\ c_{\nu-n} - \frac{\sigma^{2(q-1)n}(1-\sigma^{2n})}{1-\sigma^{(q-1)2n}} \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \sigma^{-jn} c_{\nu+(j-1)n}, & n \leq \nu \leq n+m \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

Now the description of  $c_j$ 's given in Lemma 3.1 can be applied to  $\tau_\nu$ 's for the explicit representation of  $B_{n+m,n}^*(z, h)$ . Thus

$$R_{N^*,n}^*(z, f) := R_{N-1,n}^*(z, f) + W_s(z) B_{n+m,n}^*(z, h) \quad (3.16)$$

is the rational function in  $\mathcal{R}_{N^*,n}^\sigma(f, U_s)$  which provides the desired solution of  $(P_1)$ .

**Remark 3.2.** *The relation (3.15) reduces to  $\tau_\nu = (1 - \sigma^n)c_\nu$ ,  $0 \leq \nu \leq n-1$  when  $q = 1$  and  $m = -1$ . Thus, the rational function  $B_{n-1,n}^*(z, h)$  turns out to be  $[(1 - \sigma^n)/(z^n - \sigma^n)]L_{n-1}(z, h)$ . Consequently, the solution (3.16) to  $(P_1)$ , in this case, bases entirely on the interpolatory character of the rational functions  $R_{N-1,n}^*(z, f)$  and  $B_{n-1,n}^*(z, h)$ .*

## 4 Solution of $(P_2)$

The problem  $(P_2)$  deals with Walsh-type equiconvergence. Here we shall provide its solution and note that it extends an earlier result due to Sharma and Ziegler ([4], *Theorem 1*). In order to avoid lengthy expressions in the calculations, we shall discuss the problem  $(P_2)$  for  $m = -1$ . However, the solution stands valid for any integer  $m > -1$ . More precisely, we prove

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $s \geq 2$  and  $q \geq 2$  be fixed integers, and let  $N := (s-1)qn$ . If  $f \in A_\rho$ ,  $1 < \rho < \infty$ , and  $\sigma > 1$  then (cf.(2.4))*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ R_{N+n-1,n}^*(z, f) - r_{N+n-1,n}(z, f) \right\} = 0, \quad \forall z \in D_\sigma \quad (4.1)$$

where  $D_\sigma$  for  $q \geq 3$  is given by

- (i)  $\left\{ z \in \mathcal{C} : |z| < \rho^{1+\frac{1}{s-1}} \right\}$  if  $\sigma \geq \rho^{q-1}$
- (ii)  $\left\{ z \in \mathcal{C} : |z| < \rho^{1+\frac{1}{(s-1)q}} \cdot \sigma^{\frac{1}{(s-1)q}}, |z| \neq \sigma \right\}$  if  $\rho \leq \sigma < \rho^{q-1}$
- (iii)  $\left\{ z \in \mathcal{C} : |z| < \rho \cdot \sigma^{\frac{2}{(s-1)q}}, |z| \neq \sigma \right\}$  if  $\sigma < \rho$ ,

and for  $q = 2$ ,  $D_\sigma$  is given by

- (i)  $\left\{ z \in \mathcal{C} : |z| < \rho^{1+\frac{1}{s-1}} \right\}$  if  $\sigma \geq \rho^s$
- (ii)  $\left\{ z \in \mathcal{C} : |z| < \rho^{1+\frac{2}{2s-3}} \cdot \sigma^{\frac{1}{3-2s}}, |z| \neq \sigma \right\}$  if  $\rho \leq \sigma < \rho^s$
- (iii)  $\left\{ z \in \mathcal{C} : |z| < \rho \cdot \sigma^{\frac{1}{s-1}}, |z| \neq \sigma \right\}$  if  $\sigma < \rho$ .

Moreover, the convergence is uniform and geometric in any compact subset of the region  $D_\sigma$ .

The proof of the above theorem requires integral representations of the rational functions  $R_{N+n-1,n}^*(z, f)$  and  $r_{N+n-1,n}(z, f)$  together with some estimates which we describe below as remarks.

**Remark 4.1.** *It is known that ([5] , (1.4))*

$$r_{N+n-1,n}(z, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{t^n - \sigma^n}{z^n - \sigma^n} \cdot \frac{f(t)}{t - z} \cdot \frac{k(t) - k(z)}{k(t)} dt \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a circle  $|t| = \rho'$ ,  $1 < \rho' < \rho$ , and

$$k(t) = t^N(t^n - \sigma^{-n}). \quad (4.3)$$

**Remark 4.2.** *If we set*

$$\delta_1 = \frac{\sigma^{(q-1)n}(1 - \sigma^{2n})}{1 + \sigma^{qn}}, \quad \delta_2 = \frac{1 - (\sigma t)^{-qn}}{1 - (\sigma t)^{-n}} \quad (4.4)$$

then using (3.3) we can write

$$\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sigma^{-jn} c_{\nu+jn} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(t)}{t^{\nu+1} W_s(t)} \left\{ \frac{t^n}{\sigma^n} \lambda_0(q) + \frac{t^{qn}}{t^{qn} - 1} \delta_2 \right\} dt$$

where  $\lambda_0(q)$  is given by (3.4). Thus the numerator of the rational function  $B_{n-1,n}^*(z, h)$  (cf.(3.14)) can be expressed as

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \tau_{\nu} z^{\nu} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(t)}{W_s(t)} \left\{ \frac{t^n}{\sigma^n} \delta_1 \lambda_0(q) + \frac{t^{qn}}{t^{qn} - 1} \delta_1 \delta_2 \right\} \frac{t^n - z^n}{t^n(t - z)} dt. \quad (4.5)$$

**Remark 4.3.** Due to the interpolatory properties of the rational function  $R_{N-1,n}^*(z, f)$  (cf.(3.1)) we have the following integral representation :

$$R_{N-1,n}^*(z, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{t^n - z^n}{z^n - \sigma^n} \cdot \frac{W_s(t) - W_s(z)}{W_s(t)} \cdot \frac{f(z)}{t - z} dt. \quad (4.6)$$

**Remark 4.4.** Since  $\sigma > 1$ , we have the following estimates (cf.(4.4),(3.4)):

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \delta_1 \lambda_0(q) &= \sigma^n (1 - \sigma^{-2n}) + O(\sigma^{-2qn+n}) \\ \delta_2 \lambda_0(q) &= \frac{t^n \sigma^n (\sigma^{-2n} - 1)}{t^n - \sigma^n} + O(\sigma^{-qn+n}). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4.7)$$

The above remarks bring us to the

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** From (4.2), (4.5) and (4.6) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} R_{N+n-1}^*(z, f) - r_{N+n-1}(z, f) \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(t)}{t - z} \{K_1(t, z, \sigma) + K_2(t, z, \sigma) - K_3(t, z, \sigma)\} dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(t, z, \sigma) &= \frac{k(z)}{k(t)} \cdot \frac{t^n - \sigma^n}{z^n - \sigma^n}, \\ K_2(t, z, \sigma) &= \frac{W_s(z)}{W_s(t)} \cdot \frac{1}{z^n - \sigma^n} \left\{ \frac{t^n}{\sigma^n} \delta_1 \lambda_0(q) + \frac{t^{qn}}{t^{qn} - 1} \delta_1 \delta_2 - (t^n - \sigma^n) \right\}, \\ K_3(t, z, \sigma) &= \frac{W_s(z)}{W_s(t)} \cdot \frac{1}{z^n - \sigma^n} \left\{ \frac{t^n}{\sigma^n} \delta_1 \lambda_0(q) + \frac{t^{qn}}{t^{qn} - 1} \delta_1 \delta_2 \right\} \frac{z^n}{t^n}. \end{aligned}$$

After the cancellation of suitable terms and using (4.7) together with the estimate  $W_s(z)/W_s(t) = O(z^N/t^N)$  we notice that

$$K_2(t, z, \sigma) = \frac{1}{z^n - \sigma^n} O \left( \frac{z^N}{t^N} \max \left\{ \frac{|t|^n}{\sigma^{2n}}, \frac{\sigma^n}{|t|^{qn}}, \frac{1}{\sigma^n} \right\} \right). \quad (4.9)$$

Similarly, a detailed analysis with appropriate cancellation of certain terms of higher order leads us to

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1(t, z, \sigma) &= K_3(t, z, \sigma) \\
&= \frac{1}{z^n - \sigma^n} \left\{ O\left(\frac{z^N}{t^N} \max\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma^n}, \frac{1}{|t|^n}\right\}\right) \right. \\
&+ O\left(\frac{z^{N+n}}{t^N} \max\left\{\frac{1}{|t|^{sqn}}, \frac{1}{|t|^n \sigma^n}, \frac{\sigma^n}{|t|^{sqn+n}}, \frac{1}{\sigma^{2n}}\right\}\right) \\
&+ \left. O\left(\frac{z^{N-qn+n}}{t^N} \max\left\{1, \frac{\sigma^n}{|t|^n}\right\}\right) \right\}. \tag{4.10}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (4.9) and (4.10) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1(t, z, \sigma) &+ K_2(t, z, \sigma) - K_3(t, z, \sigma) \\
&= \frac{1}{z^n - \sigma^n} \{O(T_1) + O(T_2) + O(T_3)\}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 &= \frac{z^{(s-2)qn+n}}{t^{(s-1)n}} \max\left\{1, \frac{\sigma^n}{|t|^n}\right\}, \\
T_2 &= \frac{z^{(s-1)qn}}{t^{sqn}} \max\left\{\frac{|t|^{(q+1)n}}{\sigma^{2n}}, \frac{|t|^{qn}}{\sigma^n}, |t|^{(q-1)n}, \sigma^n\right\}, \\
T_3 &= \frac{z^{(s-1)qn+n}}{t^{(s-1)qn}} \max\left\{\frac{1}{|t|^{sqn}}, \frac{1}{|t|^n \sigma^n}, \frac{\sigma^n}{|t|^{sqn+n}}, \frac{1}{\sigma^{2n}}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

After considering various cases for  $\sigma$  separately for the integers  $q \geq 3$  and  $q = 2$ , we analyze the order of the terms  $T_1, T_2, T_3$ . This leads us to the determination of different regions of convergence for (4.8) as desired in the theorem.  $\square$ .

**Remark 4.5.** *Theorem 4.1 does not consider the case when  $s = 1$  or  $q = 1$ . These cases are already settled in [1] and [5] respectively. It is worth mentioning that the problem  $(P_1)$  entirely deals with  $l_2$ -minimization if  $s = 1$ , whereas it reduces to interpolation problems when  $q = 1$ .*

**Remark 4.6.** *If we let  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$  in Theorem 4.1, we retrieve a result of Sharma-Ziegler ([4], Theorem 1).*

**Acknowledgement.** The proof of Lemma (3.1) in the present form is due to Professor Ambikeshwar Sharma. The author is grateful for his suggestions.

## REFERENCES

1. M.A. Bokhari, *On certain sequences of least squares approximants*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 38(1988), 415-442.
2. A.S. Cavaretta Jr., A. Sharma and R.S. Varga, *Interpolation in the roots of unity: an extension of a Theorem of J.L. Walsh*, Resultate der Mathematik 3(1981), 155-191.
3. T.J. Rivlin, *On Walsh equiconvergence*, J. Approx. Theory 36(1982), 334-345.
4. A. Sharma and Z. Ziegler, *Walsh equiconvergence for best  $l_2$ -Approximates*, Studia Math., T. LXXVII (1984), 523-528.
5. E.B. Saff and A. Sharma, "On equiconvergence of certain sequences of rational interpolants", Proc. Rational Approximations and Interpolation (Lecture Notes in Math 1105. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1984), 256-271.
6. R.S. Varga, *Topics in polynomial and rational approximation*. Séminaire, de Math. Supérieures Les Presses de L'Univ. de Montréal, 1982, 69-93.
7. J.L. Walsh, "Interpolation and approximation by rational functions in the complex domain", Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. XX, 5th ed. 1969.

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals  
Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia