



King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals

**DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES**

---

Technical Report Series

TR 168

January 1995

**Degree of Pointedness of a Convex Function**

A. Seeger

# DEGREE OF POINTEDNESS OF A CONVEX FUNCTION

Alberto Seeger  
King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals  
Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia.

## Abstract

A convex function  $f$  is said to be pointed if its epigraph has a recession cone which is pointed. Partial pointedness of  $f$  refers to the case in which such a recession cone is only partially pointed. In this note we show that the degree of pointedness of  $f$  is related to the "thickness" of the effective domain of the conjugate function  $f^*$ .

Key words: Convex function, recession cone, pointedness, conjugate function, growth condition.

1991 Mathematics subject classification: 52 A 20, 52 A 41.

# 1 Introduction.

Let  $K$  be a closed convex cone in some finite dimensional linear space  $X$ . It is easy to see that

$$\ell(K) := K \cap -K$$

is the largest subspace of  $X$  which is contained in  $K$ . The dimension of such a subspace can be used to measure the degree of pointedness of  $K$ .

**Definition 1.** The *degree of pointedness* of  $K$  is defined as the integer

$$p[K] := \dim X - \dim \ell(K).$$

If  $p[K] = \dim X$ , then one says that  $K$  is pointed. If  $0 < p[K] < \dim X$ , then one says that  $K$  is partially pointed.

Consider now a function  $f : X \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$  whose effective domain

$$\text{dom } f := \{x \in X : f(x) < +\infty\}$$

is nonempty, and whose epigraph

$$\text{epi } f := \{(x, \lambda) \in X \times R : f(x) \leq \lambda\}$$

is convex and closed. Such class of functions is usually denoted by  $\Gamma_0(X)$ . The recession cone of the set  $\text{epi } f$  is defined by

$$(\text{epi } f)_\infty := \{(u, \alpha) \in X \times R : (u, \alpha) + \text{epi } f \subseteq \text{epi } f\}.$$

The recession function  $f_\infty$  of  $f$  is given by

$$f_\infty(u) := \sup\{f(x+u) - f(x) : x \in \text{dom } f\} \quad \text{for all } u \in X.$$

Both notions are standard in the context of convex analysis and can be consulted, for instance, in the book by Rockafellar [3]. In this note we study the following new concept.

**Definition 2.** The *degree of pointedness* of the function  $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$  is the integer

$$p[f] := \dim X - \dim \ell((\text{epi } f)_\infty). \quad (1)$$

If  $p[f] = \dim X$ , then  $f$  is said to be pointed. If  $0 < p[f] < \dim X$ , then  $f$  is called partially pointed.

**Remark.** According to the above definition,  $f$  is pointed if and only if  $(\text{epi } f)_\infty$  is a pointed cone. This case has already been considered by Benoist and Hiriart-Urruty [1, Definition 2.3]. However, these authors do not address the question of the dimension of  $\ell((\text{epi } f)_\infty)$ .

## 2 Pointedness and Conjugacy.

In this section we derive a simple formula for computing the degree of pointedness of a function  $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$ . Recall that the Legendre–Fenchel conjugate of  $f$  is the function  $f^* \in \Gamma_0(X)$  defined by

$$f^*(y) := \sup_{x \in X} \{\langle y, x \rangle - f(x)\} \quad \text{for all } y \in X,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a given inner product in the space  $X$ . The next theorem says that the degree of pointedness of  $f$  is equal to the dimension of the effective domain of  $f^*$ . The dimension of a nonempty convex set  $A \subseteq X$  is defined as the dimension of the affine hull of  $A$  (cf. [3, p. 12]).

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a finite dimensional linear space, and let  $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$ . Then,*

$$\dim(\text{dom}f^*) + \dim \ell((\text{epi}f)_\infty) = \dim X. \quad (2)$$

This theorem is obtained by combining the following two lemmata. The notation  $\langle C \rangle$  refers to the linear space spanned by the set  $C$ , and  $\langle C \rangle^\perp$  stands for the orthogonal complement of  $\langle C \rangle$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X$  and  $f$  be as in Theorem 1. Then,*

$$\ell((\text{epi}f)_\infty) = \langle \text{dom}f^* \times \{-1\} \rangle^\perp.$$

*In particular, the space  $X \times R$  can be decomposed as a direct sum as indicated below:*

$$X \times R = \langle \text{dom}f^* \times \{-1\} \rangle \oplus \ell((\text{epi}f)_\infty).$$

**Proof.** By definition one has

$$(u, \alpha) \in \ell((\text{epi}f)_\infty) \Leftrightarrow (u, \alpha) \in (\text{epi}f)_\infty \cap -(\text{epi}f)_\infty.$$

Since  $(\text{epi}f)_\infty = \text{epi}f_\infty$ , one can also write

$$\begin{aligned} (u, \alpha) \in \ell((\text{epi}f)_\infty) &\Leftrightarrow f_\infty(u) \leq \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad f_\infty(-u) \leq -\alpha \\ &\Leftrightarrow f_\infty(u) \leq \alpha \leq -f_\infty(-u). \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the fact that  $f_\infty$  is the support function of the set  $\text{dom}f^*$  (cf. [2]), i.e.

$$f_\infty(u) = \sup\{\langle y, u \rangle : y \in \text{dom}f^*\}.$$

One has also

$$-f_\infty(-u) = \inf\{\langle y, u \rangle : y \in \text{dom}f^*\}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (u, \alpha) \in \ell((\text{epif})_\infty) &\Leftrightarrow \langle y, u \rangle = \alpha \quad \text{for all } y \in \text{dom} f^* \\ &\Leftrightarrow \langle (y, \beta), (u, \alpha) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } (y, \beta) \in \text{dom} f^* \times \{-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $A$  be a nonempty convex set in  $X$ . Then*

$$\dim A = \dim \langle A \times \{-1\} \rangle - 1. \quad (3)$$

**Proof.** The proof of this technical lemma is essentially an exercise in linear algebra. As shown in [3, Theorem 2.4], the dimension of  $A$  is the maximum of the dimensions of the various simplices included in  $A$ . If  $m$  denotes the dimension of  $A$ , then  $A$  contains  $m + 1$  points  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m$  for which the vectors

$$a_1 - a_0, a_2 - a_0, \dots, a_m - a_0 \quad \text{are linearly independent.} \quad (4)$$

It can be shown that the above condition is equivalent to

$$(a_0, -1), (a_1, -1), \dots, (a_m, -1) \quad \text{are linearly independent.} \quad (5)$$

This means that  $A \times \{-1\}$  contains at least  $(m + 1)$  linearly independent vectors, and thus

$$\dim \langle A \times \{-1\} \rangle \geq \dim A + 1.$$

To prove the reverse inequality, let  $m := \dim \langle A \times \{-1\} \rangle - 1$ . In this case,  $A \times \{-1\}$  contains  $m + 1$  linearly independent vectors, say  $(a_0, -1), \dots, (a_m, -1)$ . Since (5) is equivalent to (4), we conclude that  $A$  contains an  $m$ -dimensional simplex. Hence,

$$\dim A \geq \dim \langle A \times \{-1\} \rangle - 1.$$

This completes the proof. □

**Remark.** By combining Theorem 1 and [3, Theorem 13.4], one sees that  $\ell((\text{epi}f)_\infty)$  has the same dimension as the set  $\{u \in X : f_\infty(u) = -f_\infty(-u)\}$ . The latter set is known as the lineality space of  $f$ .

We end this section by illustrating Theorem 1 with the help of two examples.

**Example 1.** Consider the space  $X = S_n$  of symmetric matrices of order  $n \times n$  equipped with the usual inner product  $\langle x, y \rangle := \text{trace}(xy)$ . The variance of a matrix  $x \in S_n$  is defined by

$$(\text{var})(x) := \frac{1}{n} \langle x, x \rangle - \left( \frac{\text{trace } x}{n} \right)^2.$$

The function  $\text{var}: S_n \rightarrow R$  is convex, and its conjugate  $(\text{var})^*: S_n \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$  is given by

$$(\text{var})^*(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{4} \langle y, y \rangle & \text{if } \text{trace } y = 0, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The dimension of  $\text{dom}(\text{var})^* = \{y \in S_n : \text{trace } y = 0\}$  is equal to  $\dim S_n - 1$ . Hence, the function  $\text{var}$  is partially pointed and its degree of pointedness is

$$p[\text{var}] = \dim S_n - 1 = (n^2 + n - 2)/2.$$

**Example 2.** Let  $X = S_n$  be as in Example 1. Let  $\lambda_{\max}(x)$  denote the largest eigenvalue of the matrix  $x \in S_n$ . It is known that  $\lambda_{\max}: S_n \rightarrow R$  is equal to the support function of the set

$$A = \{x \in S_n : x \text{ is positive semidefinite, } \text{trace } x = 1\}.$$

Hence  $\text{dom}(\lambda_{\max})^* = A$  is a set of dimension equal to  $\dim S_n - 1$ . Thus,  $\lambda_{\max}$  is partially pointed and  $p[\lambda_{\max}] = (n^2 + n - 2)/2$ . In this example one can also compute  $p[\lambda_{\max}]$

by using the very definition of this number. The set  $\text{epi}(\lambda_{\max})$  is a closed convex cone, so it coincides with its recession cone. As a matter of calculus, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (x, \lambda) \in \ell(\text{epi}(\lambda_{\max})) &\Leftrightarrow \lambda_{\max}(x) \leq \lambda \text{ and } \lambda_{\max}(-x) \leq -\lambda \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{all the eigenvalues of } x \text{ are equal to } \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

If  $i \in S_n$  denotes the identity matrix, then

$$\ell(\text{epi}(\lambda_{\max})) = \{(\lambda i, \lambda) : \lambda \in R\} = R\{(i, 1)\} \subset S_n \times R$$

is a space of dimension 1.

### 3 Pointedness and Growth Condition.

Recall that each  $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$  can be minorized by some affine function, i.e. one can find  $y \in X$  and  $b \in R$  such that

$$f(x) \geq \langle y, x \rangle + b \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \quad (6)$$

Is it possible to obtain a more precise information on the growth of  $f$ ? In other words, is it possible to write a stronger growth condition for  $f$ ? As already observed by Benoist and Hiriart-Urruty [1, Theorem 2.4], a growth condition of the type

$$f(x) \geq r\|x\| + \langle y, x \rangle + b \quad \text{for all } x \in X, \quad (7)$$

with  $r > 0$ , characterizes the class of functions  $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$  which are pointed. This observation leads us to think that a partially pointed function  $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$  satisfies a growth condition which is intermediate between (6) and (7). The purpose of the next theorem is to display in a clear-cut manner the relationship between growth condition



and degree of pointedness. To start with, observe that the conditions (6) and (7) can be written in a common format, namely:

$$f(x) \geq \psi_A^*(x) + b \quad \text{for all } x \in X,$$

where  $\psi_A^*$  denotes the support function of  $A \subseteq X$ . In the former case  $A$  corresponds to the zero-dimensional set  $\{y\}$ , and in the latter case  $A$  is the full dimensional ball  $B(y, r) := \{z \in X : \|z - y\| \leq r\}$ .

**Theorem 2.** *The degree of pointedness of the function  $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$  is given by*

$$p[f] = \max_{A \in C(X)} \{\dim A : f - \psi_A^* \text{ is minorized}\}, \quad (8)$$

where  $C(X)$  denotes the class of nonempty convex sets in  $X$ . One can also write

$$p[f] = \max_{A \in C(X)} \{\dim A : f_\infty \geq \psi_A^*\}, \quad (9)$$

where the maximum in (9) is attained at  $A = \text{dom } f^*$  (or, more generally, at any set  $A$  which is contained in the closure of  $\text{dom } f^*$  and which has the same dimension as  $\text{dom } f^*$ ).

**Proof.** The inequality  $f_\infty \geq \psi_A^*$  is equivalent to the inclusion

$$\overline{A} \subseteq \overline{\text{dom } f^*},$$

where the upper bar denotes the closure operation in  $X$ . Since the closure operation does not affect the dimension of a convex set (cf. [3, Theorem 6.2]), the maximum in (9) is attained at any  $A \subseteq \overline{\text{dom } f^*}$  which has the same dimension as  $\text{dom } f^*$ . Now we prove that  $p[f] = m[f]$ , where  $m[f]$  denotes the term on the right-hand side of (8). It is fairly clear that

$$f - \psi_A^* \text{ is minorized} \Rightarrow f_\infty \geq \psi_A^*.$$

Thus (9) yields the inequality  $p[f] \geq m[f]$ . According to Toland–Singer duality theorem (cf. [4], [5]), for all  $A \in C(X)$ , one has:

$$\inf_{x \in X} \{f(x) - \psi_A^*(x)\} = - \sup_{y \in \bar{A}} f^*(y).$$

Hence,

$$m[f] = \max_{A \in C(X)} \{\dim A : f^* \text{ is majorized over } \bar{A}\}. \quad (10)$$

Take any  $y \in ri(\text{dom } f^*)$ , where “ $ri$ ” stands for the relative interior (cf. [3, p. 44]).

Then, for some  $r > 0$  sufficiently small, one has

$$A_r := B(y, r) \cap \overline{\text{dom } f^*} \subset ri(\text{dom } f^*).$$

According to Rockafellar [3, Theorem 10.1], the function  $f^*$  is continuous relative to  $ri(\text{dom } f^*)$ . Hence,  $f^*$  is majorized over the compact set  $A_r$ , and

$$m[f] \geq \dim A_r.$$

But

$$\dim A_r = \dim(\overline{\text{dom } f^*}) = \dim(\text{dom } f^*) = p[f].$$

This proves the reverse inequality  $m[f] \geq p[f]$ , and completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark.** Since  $f$  and  $\psi_A^*$  may take the value  $+\infty$  at the same time, we have implicitly adopted the rule  $(+\infty) - (+\infty) = +\infty$ . The maximum in (8) is attained at a set  $A$  of the form  $A = B(y, r) \cap \overline{\text{dom } f^*}$ . This means that the formula (8) remains true if one defines  $C(X)$  as the class of nonempty convex compact sets in  $X$ .

## 4 Calculus Rules for the Degree of Pointedness.

Suppose  $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$  is constructed from other functions, say  $f_1, \dots, f_N$ , whose degrees of pointedness are known, or can be easily computed. In this case it is helpful

to have a formula which relates  $p[f]$  to the degrees  $p[f_1], \dots, p[f_N]$  of the component functions. The calculus rules recorded in the first two propositions can be proved in a fairly simple way by using Theorem 1.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$ . Then,*

- (a)  $p[f] \leq p[g]$  for all  $g \in \Gamma_0(X)$  such that  $f \leq g$ ;
- (b)  $p[f + \ell] = p[f]$  for all affine functions  $\ell : X \rightarrow R$ ;
- (c)  $p[\lambda f] = p[f]$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ ;
- (d)  $p[f(\cdot/\lambda)] = p[f]$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ ;
- (e)  $p[f_c] = p[f]$ , where  $c \in X$  and  $f_c(x) = f(x - c)$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $X = \prod_{k=1}^N X_k$  be the Cartesian product of the finite dimensional spaces  $X_1, \dots, X_N$ . Suppose*

$$f(x) = f_1(x_1) + \dots + f_N(x_N) \quad \text{for all } x \in X,$$

where  $f_k \in \Gamma_0(X_k)$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, N$ . Then,

$$p[f] = \sum_{k=1}^N p[f_k]. \quad (11)$$

*In particular,  $f$  is pointed if and only if all the  $f_k$ 's are pointed.*

In the next four propositions we consider some important functional operations arising in the context of convex analysis, namely, pointwise maximum, addition, closed convex hull, and infimal-convolution.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $f_1, \dots, f_N \in \Gamma_0(X)$  be finite at some common point, and let  $f = \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} f_k$ . Then,*

$$p[f] \geq \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} p[f_k]. \quad (12)$$

*In particular,  $f$  is pointed if at least one of the  $f_k$ 's is pointed.*

**Proof.** Formula (12) follows from Proposition 1(a). Indeed, since each  $f_k \leq f$ , one has

$$p[f_k] \leq p[f] \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, N.$$

An alternative proof of (12) is based on Theorem 2 and runs as follows. Let  $A_1, \dots, A_N \in C(X)$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_N \in R$  be such that  $\dim A_k = p[f_k]$ , and

$$f_k(x) \geq \psi_{A_k}^*(x) + b_k \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Then,

$$f(x) \geq \psi_A^*(x) + b \quad \text{for all } x \in X,$$

where  $b = \min_{1 \leq k \leq N} b_k$  and  $A = \text{co} \bigcup_{k=1}^N A_k$  is the convex hull of the sets  $A_1, \dots, A_N$ . Since  $f - \psi_A^*$  is minorized, we have

$$p[f] \geq \dim A \geq \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} \dim A_k. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $f_1, \dots, f_N \in \Gamma_0(X)$  be finite at some common point, and let  $f = \sum_{k=1}^N f_k$ . Then*

$$p[f] \geq \dim(\text{dom} f_1^* + \dots + \text{dom} f_N^*) \geq \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} p[f_k]. \quad (13)$$

*In particular,  $f$  is pointed if at least one of the  $f_k$ 's is pointed.*

**Proof.** It is known that  $f^*$  is equal to the lower-semicontinuous hull of the function

$$y \in X \mapsto h(y) := \inf\{f_1^*(y_1) + \dots + f_N^*(y_N) : y_1 + \dots + y_N = y\}.$$

Thus,

$$\text{dom} f^* \supseteq \text{dom} h = \text{dom} f_1^* + \dots + \text{dom} f_N^*$$

and

$$\dim \operatorname{dom} f^* \geq \dim(\operatorname{dom} f_1^* + \cdots + \operatorname{dom} f_N^*) \geq \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} \dim \operatorname{dom} f_k^*.$$

Theorem 1 completes the proof of (13).  $\square$

**Remark.** If  $\bigcap_{k=1}^N \operatorname{ri}(\operatorname{dom} f_k)$  is nonempty, then  $h$  is lower-semicontinuous, and the first inequality in (13) becomes  $p[f] = \dim(\operatorname{dom} f_1^* + \cdots + \operatorname{dom} f_N^*)$ .

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $f$  be the closed convex hull of the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_N \in \Gamma_0(X)$ .*

*Suppose all the  $f_k$ 's are minorized by a common affine function. Then*

$$p[f] = \dim \bigcap_{k=1}^N \operatorname{dom} f_k^* \leq \min_{1 \leq k \leq N} p[f_k]. \quad (14)$$

**Proof.** Since  $f^* = \max \{f_k^* : 1 \leq k \leq N\}$ , one has

$$\operatorname{dom} f^* = \bigcap_{k=1}^N \operatorname{dom} f_k^*.$$

Formula (14) follows by applying Theorem 1.

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $f$  be the infimal-convolution of the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_N \in \Gamma_0(X)$ .*

*Suppose the sets  $\operatorname{ri}(\operatorname{dom} f_k)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$  have a point in common. Then*

$$p[f] = \dim \bigcap_{k=1}^N \operatorname{dom} f_k^* \leq \min_{1 \leq k \leq N} p[f_k]. \quad (15)$$

**Proof.** The proof is the same as in Proposition 4. This time one starts with the equality

$$(f)^* = \sum_{k=1}^N f_k^*. \quad \square$$

An important use of the infimal-convolution operation is the regularization of a given function  $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$ . The regularized version of  $f$  is defined by

$$x \in X \mapsto [f \square \theta](x) := \inf_{u \in X} \{f(u) + \theta(x - u)\},$$

where the function  $\theta \in \Gamma_0(X)$  is referred to as a "kernel".

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\theta \in \Gamma_0(X)$  be coercive in the sense that  $\theta(x)/\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$ . Then*

$$p[f \square \theta] = p[f] \quad \text{for all } f \in \Gamma_0(X).$$

**Proof.** That  $f \square \theta \in \Gamma_0(X)$  follows from the coercivity of  $\theta$ . One also has  $\text{dom } \theta^* = X$ .

Thus,  $\text{dom}(f \square \theta)^* = \text{dom } f^* \cap \text{dom } \theta^*$  has the same dimension as  $\text{dom } f^*$ . □

## References

- [1] J. BENOIST and J.B. HIRIART-URRUTY, Quel est le sous-différentiel de l'enveloppe convexe fermée d'une fonction? C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 316, Série I (1993), 233-237.
- [2] P.J. LAURENT, Approximation et optimisation, Hermann, Paris, 1972.
- [3] R.T. ROCKAFELLAR, Convex Analysis, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [4] I. SINGER, A Fenchel-Rockafellar type duality theorem for maximization, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 29(1979), 193-198.
- [5] J. TOLAND, A duality principle for nonconvex optimization and the calculus of variations, Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal. 71(1979), 41-61.

(seg1941)