



King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

Technical Report Series

TR 268

September 2001

**Semigroup of Order-Decreasing Transformations:
Some Fundamental Congruences**

P.M. Higgins, A. Umar

SEMIGROUP OF ORDER-DECREASING TRANSFORMATIONS: SOME FUNDAMENTAL CONGRUENCES

P.M. Higgins¹ and A. Umar

1. Introduction.

Let S be a semigroup. A relation R on the set S is called *left compatible* (with the operation on S) if

$$(\forall s, t, a \in S)(s, t) \in R \Rightarrow (as, at) \in R,$$

and a *right compatible* relation is defined dually. It is compatible if

$$(\forall s, t, s', t' \in S)[(s, t) \in R \text{ and } (s', t') \in R] \Rightarrow (ss', tt') \in R.$$

A left [right] compatible equivalence is called a left [right] congruence. An immediate consequence of these definitions is that a relation ρ on a semigroup S is a congruence if and only if it is both a left and right congruence. Congruences are rarely mentioned explicitly in group theory, but they are present in the background. Given a congruence ρ on a group G with identity e , it is easy to verify that $e\rho$ is a normal subgroup of G

$$(x, y) \in \rho \text{ if and only if } xy^{-1} \in N.$$

For each x in G the ρ -class $x\rho$ is simply the coset Nx (or equivalently xN , since N is normal). Thus, the congruence ρ is completely determined by N .

A similar situation arises in a ring R . If ρ is a congruence on R then $O\rho$ is a two-sided ideal of R . Denoting $O\rho$ by I , we see that

$$(x, y) \in \rho \text{ if and only if } x - y \in I.$$

The ρ -classes in this case are the residue classes $x + I$. Here again the congruence is wholly determined by I .

¹Address: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Essex, Wivenhoe Park, Colchester CO4 3SQ, England

We do not have this fortunate occurrence in semigroups and so the explicit study of congruences is inescapable. The description of all congruences on a class of semigroups often involves work of a very detailed nature, see for example [4,5,6,8].

In this article we wish to initiate a general study of congruences on the semigroups of order-decreasing finite full transformations. (A full transformation $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$, where X is totally ordered, is said to be *order-decreasing* if for all x in X we have $x\alpha \leq x$.) As in [9] we denote the full transformation semigroup and the semigroup of all order-decreasing finite full transformations of $X_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ by T_n and D_n , respectively. For standard concepts in semigroup theory, see for example [3] or [1].

2. Preliminaries.

We begin by recalling some definitions and results associated with a transformation. For a given (partial) mapping or transformation $\alpha : Y \subseteq X \rightarrow X$ we denote its set of fixed points by $F(\alpha) = \{x \in Y : x\alpha = x\}$, its domain Y by $\text{Dom } \alpha$ and its image set by $\text{Im } \alpha$. If $\text{Dom } \alpha = X$ then α is called a *full* or *total* mapping, otherwise it is called *strictly partial*. An idempotent mapping ϵ is characterized by the property that $F(\alpha) = \text{Im } \alpha$. However, in the semigroup D_n we have this sharper result:

Lemma 1. [9, Lemma 2.2]. An order-decreasing mapping α is idempotent if and only if $t = \min t\alpha^{-1}$, for all t in $\text{Im } \alpha$.

Lemma 2. [7, Proposition 2.1]. Let S be a subsemigroup of T_n . Then S is \mathcal{R} -trivial if and only if for every α, β in S

$$F(\alpha\beta) = F(\alpha) \cap F(\beta) = F(\beta\alpha).$$

Remark. Lemma 2 applies in particular to D_n , since it is known to be \mathcal{R} -trivial [9, Lemma 2.1].

3. Congruences.

A chain of congruences. For each $y \in X_n$, define an equivalence ρ_y on D_n by the rule that

$$(\alpha, \beta) \in \rho_y \text{ if and only if } x\alpha = x\beta \text{ for all } x \leq y.$$

Then ρ_y is easily seen to be a congruence and $\rho_y \subseteq \rho_x$ if and only if $x \leq y$. In fact, we have this interesting result:

Lemma 3. Let λ be an arbitrary congruence on D_n . Then $\lambda \vee \rho_y = \rho_y \circ \lambda \circ \rho_y$.

Proof. All that remains to show is that $\rho_y \circ \lambda \circ \rho_y$ is transitive. So suppose that $(\alpha, \beta), (\beta, \gamma) \in \rho_y \circ \lambda \circ \rho_y$. Then there exist $\delta_1, \delta_2, \eta_1, \eta_2$ in D_n such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha, \delta_1) \in \rho_y, (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \lambda, (\delta_2, \beta) \in \rho_y, \\ (\beta, \eta_1) \in \rho_y, (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \lambda, (\eta_2, \gamma) \in \rho_y. \end{aligned}$$

Now by the transitivity of ρ_y we have $(\delta_2, \eta_1) \in \rho_y$, and so $x\delta_2 = x\eta_1$ for all $x \leq y$.

Thus $\epsilon\delta_2 = \epsilon\eta_1$ where ϵ is given by

$$x\epsilon = x \ (\forall x \leq y) \text{ and } x\epsilon = y \text{ (otherwise).}$$

By the left compatibility of λ we have $(\epsilon\delta_1, \epsilon\delta_2) \in \lambda$, $(\epsilon\eta_1, \epsilon\eta_2) \in \lambda$ and so $(\epsilon\delta_1, \epsilon\eta_2) \in \lambda$. Moreover, $(\delta_1, \epsilon\delta_1) \in \rho_y$ so that by transitivity $(\alpha, \epsilon\delta_1) \in \rho_y$. It is also clear that by the left compatibility of ρ_y we have $(\epsilon\eta_2, \epsilon\gamma) \in \rho_y$ while $(\epsilon\gamma, \gamma) \in \rho_y$. Thus by transitivity we have $(\epsilon\eta_2, \gamma) \in \rho_y$. Hence, summarizing we have that

$$(\alpha, \epsilon\delta_1) \in \rho_y, (\epsilon\delta_1, \epsilon\eta_2) \in \lambda, (\epsilon\eta_2, \gamma) \in \rho_y$$

and so $(\alpha, \gamma) \in \rho_y \circ \lambda \circ \rho_y$.

Lemma 4. Let k be a natural number for which $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then $D_n/\rho_k \cong D_k$ and

$$\iota = \rho_n \subseteq \rho_{n-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \rho_2 \subseteq \rho_1 = \omega.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [11, Lemma 1.8].

The minimum semilattice congruence. First we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 5. Let $\alpha \in D_n$ and let α^ω be the idempotent power of α , that is $\alpha^\omega = \alpha^k = \alpha^{k+1}$ (for some $k \geq 1$). Then for all $\alpha, \beta \in D_n$ we have that $\alpha^\omega \beta^\omega = \alpha^\omega$ if $F(\alpha) \subseteq F(\beta)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2,

$$F(\alpha^\omega) = F(\alpha) \subseteq F(\beta) = F(\beta^\omega)$$

which implies (by idempotency), that

$$\text{Im } \alpha^\omega = F(\alpha^\omega) \subseteq F(\beta^\omega) = \text{Im } \beta^\omega$$

and hence $\alpha^\omega \beta^\omega = \alpha^\omega$ as required.

Define a relation σ_{\min} on D_n by the rule that: $(\alpha, \beta) \in \sigma_{\min}$ if and only if $F(\alpha) = F(\beta)$. Then we have

Theorem 6. Let σ_{\min} be as defined above then σ_{\min} is the minimum semilattice congruence on any subsemigroup of D_n .

Proof. First it is clear that σ_{\min} is an equivalence and that it is a semilattice congruence follows from the fact that for all α, β in D_n

$$F(\alpha\beta) = F(\alpha) \cap F(\beta) = F(\beta\alpha)$$

and so $F(\alpha^2) = F(\alpha)$. Now let λ be an arbitrary semilattice congruence on D_n and let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \sigma_{\min}$. Then

$$F(\alpha^\omega) = F(\alpha) = F(\beta) = F(\beta^\omega) \text{ and } (\alpha, \alpha^\omega), (\beta^\omega, \beta) \in \lambda.$$

However, by Lemma 5 we have $\alpha^\omega \beta^\omega = \alpha^\omega$ and $\beta^\omega \alpha^\omega = \beta^\omega$ so that

$$(\alpha^\omega, \beta^\omega) = (\alpha^\omega \beta^\omega, \beta^\omega \alpha^\omega) \in \lambda$$

and by transitivity we have $(\alpha, \beta) \in \lambda$, as required.

Next, for a given relation, say \mathcal{K} on D_n we denote by $\mathcal{K}^\#$ the congruence generated by \mathcal{K} and notice that α_i for which $X_n \setminus F(\alpha) = S(\alpha_i) = \{j_i\}$ is an idempotent and will be denoted by $\begin{pmatrix} j_i \\ j_i \alpha_i \end{pmatrix}$. Now we are going to show that $\mathcal{D}^\# = \sigma_{\min}$. However, first we recall from [9, Lemma 2.1] that

Lemma 7. Let $\alpha, \beta \in D_n$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}$ if and only if $\text{Im } \alpha = \text{Im } \beta$ and $\min z\alpha^{-1} = \min z\beta^{-1}$ for all z in $\text{Im } \alpha$.

Lemma 8. Let $\alpha \in D_n$ be such that $S(\alpha) = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k\}$ where $1 < j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq n$ and $k \geq 2$. Then

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 \\ j_1 \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_2 \\ j_2 \alpha \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} j_k \\ j_k \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. The result follows immediately from the fact that for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$; we have by the order-decreasing property and the stated assumptions that $j_i \alpha < j_i < j_{i+1} < \dots < j_k$.

Corollary 9. Every α in D_n is expressible as a product of at most $n-1$ idempotents.

Theorem 10. $\mathcal{D}^\#$ is the minimum semilattice congruence on D_n .

Proof. First note that $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \eta = \sigma_{\min}$ in any semigroup [1, p.38], where η is the minimum semilattice congruence. Thus $\mathcal{J}^\# = \mathcal{D}^\# \subseteq \sigma_{\min}$.

Conversely, let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \sigma_{\min}$, then $F(\alpha) = F(\beta) \Leftrightarrow S(\alpha) = S(\beta)$. Suppose that $S(\alpha) = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k\} = S(\beta)$, where $1 < j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq n$. Observe that for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ we have that

$$\alpha_i = \begin{pmatrix} j_i \\ j_i \alpha \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{D} \begin{pmatrix} j_i \\ j_i \beta \end{pmatrix} = \beta_i$$

since $F(\alpha_i) = \text{Im } \alpha_i = X_n \setminus \{j_i\} = \text{Im } \beta_i = F(\beta_i)$ and so $\min z(\alpha_i)^{-1} = z = \min z(\beta_i)^{-1}$

for all z in $\text{Im } \alpha_i$. Thus, by the compatibility of $\mathcal{D}^\#$,

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 \\ j_1\alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_2 \\ j_2\alpha \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} j_k \\ j_k\alpha \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}^\# \begin{pmatrix} j_1 \\ j_1\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_2 \\ j_2\beta \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} j_k \\ j_k\beta \end{pmatrix} = \beta$$

so that $\sigma_{\min} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^\#$. Hence $\mathcal{D}^\# = \sigma_{\min}$.

The minimum congruence. Let γ_{\min} be a relation on D_n ($n \geq 3$) whose only non-singleton class is $\{0, \alpha^{n-2}\}$, where

$$x0 = 1, \quad x\alpha = \max\{1, x-1\} \quad (x \in X_n)$$

so that

$$n\alpha^{n-2} = 2, \quad x\alpha^{n-2} = 1 \quad (x \neq n).$$

However, $\{0, \alpha^{n-2}\}$ is easily seen to be an ideal and so it follows that γ_{\min} is a non-trivial (Rees) congruence on D_n ($n \geq 3$). Let ρ be an arbitrary non-trivial congruence on D_n ($n \geq 3$) and let α, β in D_n be such that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \rho$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$. We may, without loss of generality choose x in X_n such that $x\alpha > x\beta \geq 1$. Now define α', β' in D_n by

$$\begin{aligned} n\alpha' &= x, \quad z\alpha' = 1 \quad (z < n); \\ z\beta' &= 1 \quad (z < x\alpha), \quad z\beta' = 2 \quad (z \geq x\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Then it is clear that $\alpha'\alpha\beta' = \alpha^{n-2}$ and $\alpha'\beta\beta' = 0$ so we deduce that γ_{\min} is contained in every non-trivial congruence D_n . Thus we have proved the following result:

Theorem 11. γ_{\min} is the minimum congruence on D_n ($n \geq 3$).

The minimum band congruence. Let α be an arbitrary element of D_n and for each $s \in S(\alpha) = \{x \in X_n : x\alpha \neq x\}$ define

$$C_s(\alpha) = \{x \in X_n : s\alpha \leq x < s\}.$$

Then we have the following simple lemma:

Lemma 12. For all α, γ in S_n^- we have that $C_s(\alpha) \subseteq C_s(\alpha\gamma)$ and $C_{s\gamma}(\alpha) \subseteq C_s(\gamma\alpha)$.

Now define an equivalence relation β^* on S_n^- by the rule that: $(\alpha, \beta) \in \beta^*$ if and only if (for all $s \in S(\alpha) = S(\beta)$) $C_s(\alpha) \subseteq F(\alpha) = F(\beta)$ or $C_s(\beta) \subseteq F(\alpha) = F(\beta)$ implies that $s\alpha = s\beta$. Then we have

Proposition 13. β^* is a band congruence.

Proof. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \beta^*$ then $F(\alpha) = F(\beta)$ and $C_s(\alpha) \subseteq F(\alpha) = F(\beta)$ or $C_s(\beta) \subseteq F(\alpha) = F(\beta)$ implies that $s\alpha = s\beta$. Now suppose that (for all $s \in S(\alpha\gamma) = S(\beta\gamma)$) $C_s(\alpha\gamma) \subseteq F(\alpha\gamma) = F(\beta\gamma)$ or $C_s(\beta\gamma) \subseteq F(\alpha\gamma) = F(\beta\gamma)$ for some γ in D_n . Without loss of generality suppose that $C_s(\alpha\gamma) \subseteq F(\alpha\gamma)$. Then by Lemma 12, if $s \in S(\alpha)$ we have that

$$C_s(\alpha) \subseteq C_s(\alpha\gamma) \subseteq F(\alpha\gamma) \subseteq F(\alpha)$$

so that $s\alpha = s\beta$ which in turn implies that $s\alpha\gamma = s\beta\gamma$, otherwise if $s \notin S(\alpha) = S(\beta)$ then $s\alpha = s = s\beta$ which also implies that $s\alpha\gamma = s\beta\gamma$. Similarly, suppose that (for all $s \in S(\gamma\alpha) = S(\gamma\beta)$) $C_s(\gamma\alpha) \subseteq F(\gamma\alpha) = F(\gamma\beta)$ or $C_s(\gamma\beta) \subseteq F(\gamma\alpha) = F(\gamma\beta)$ for some γ in D_n . Without loss of generality suppose that $C_s(\gamma\alpha) \subseteq F(\gamma\alpha)$. Then again by Lemma 12, if $s\gamma \in S(\alpha) = S(\beta)$ we have that

$$C_{s\gamma}(\alpha) \subseteq C_s(\gamma\alpha) \subseteq F(\gamma\alpha) \subseteq F(\alpha)$$

so that $(s\gamma)\alpha = (s\gamma)\beta$ while if $s\gamma \notin S(\alpha) = S(\beta)$ then $(s\gamma)\alpha = s\gamma = (s\gamma)\beta$. Thus β^* is a congruence.

Finally, to show that β^* is a band congruence, we suppose that $C_s(\alpha^2) \subseteq F(\alpha^2) = F(\alpha)$. Then by Lemma 12, $C_s(\alpha) \subseteq C_s(\alpha^2) \subseteq F(\alpha)$ so that $(s\alpha)\alpha = s\alpha \Leftrightarrow s\alpha^2 = s\alpha$ as required.

The following lemma is necessary to show that β^* is the minimum band congruence on D_n :

Lemma 14. Let $\alpha \in D_n$ and let $G(\alpha) = \{s \in S(\alpha) : C_s(\alpha) \subseteq F(\alpha)\}$. Define γ in D_n by

$$x\gamma = x\alpha \text{ (if } x \in F(\alpha) \cup G(\alpha)\text{), } x\gamma = x^- \text{ (otherwise),}$$

where x^- is the immediate predecessor of x in $S(\alpha)$. Then $(\alpha, \gamma) \in \beta^*$, $\gamma \circ \gamma^{-1} \subseteq \alpha \circ \alpha^{-1}$ and for all β such that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \beta^*$, $x\beta \leq x\gamma$ for all x in X_n .

Proof. First notice that by construction $F(\alpha) = F(\gamma)$, $G(\alpha) \subseteq G(\gamma)$ since $x\alpha = x\gamma$ for all x in $F(\alpha) \cup G(\alpha)$. Moreover, if $x \notin F(\alpha) \cup G(\alpha)$ then $C_x(\gamma) \not\subseteq F(\gamma)$ and so $G^c(\alpha) \subseteq G^c(\gamma)$ ($\Leftrightarrow G(\gamma) \subseteq G(\alpha)$). Thus $G(\gamma) = G(\alpha)$. Now if $C_s(\alpha) \subseteq F(\alpha)$ then $s \in G(\alpha)$ so that $s\alpha = s\gamma$. Similarly, if $C_s(\gamma) \subseteq F(\gamma)$ then $s \in G(\gamma)$ so that $s\gamma = s\alpha$.

Next let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \beta^*$ whence for all x in $F(\alpha) \cup G(\alpha)$ we have $x\beta = x\alpha = x\gamma$. Thus let $x \in S(\alpha) \setminus G(\alpha)$ then $x \neq x\beta \leq x^- = x\gamma$, as required.

Finally, notice that for all β in D_n such that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \beta^*$, β and γ differ only on $S(\alpha) \setminus G(\alpha)$. However, since γ maps $F(\alpha) \cup G(\alpha)$ into $F(\alpha)$ and maps $S(\alpha) \setminus G(\alpha)$ into $S(\alpha)$ in a one-one fashion, by construction, it follows that $\gamma \circ \gamma^{-1} \subseteq \alpha \circ \alpha^{-1}$. Note also that if $S(\alpha) \setminus G(\alpha)$ is empty then the β^* -class containing α is singleton.

Theorem 15. β^* is the minimum band congruence on S_n^- .

Proof. Let λ be an arbitrary band congruence on D_n and for each α in D_n let α^ω be the idempotent power of α , that is $\alpha^\omega = \alpha^k = \alpha^{k+1}$ (for some $k \geq 1$). Suppose also that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \beta^*$ and let γ be as defined in the statement of Lemma 14 above. Next for all δ in D_n define e_δ (also in D_n) by

$$(x^-)e_\delta = x\delta^\omega \text{ (} x \in S(\delta) \setminus G(\delta)\text{), } ye_\delta = y \text{ (} y \neq x^- \text{)}.$$

Then by Lemma 14, we deduce that $\gamma e_\alpha = \alpha^\omega$ and $\gamma e_\beta = \beta^\omega$. Thus since $(\gamma, \gamma^\omega) \in \lambda$, it follows by right compatibility that

$$(\alpha^\omega, \gamma^\omega) = (\gamma e_\alpha, \gamma^\omega e_\alpha) \in \lambda \text{ and } (\beta^\omega, \gamma^\omega) = (\gamma e_\beta, \gamma^\omega e_\beta) \in \gamma.$$

Thus, by transitivity we have $(\alpha^\omega, \beta^\omega) \in \lambda$, and hence $(\alpha, \beta) \in \lambda$, as required.

Another chain of congruences. Define λ_k on D_n by the rule that

$$(\alpha, \beta) \in \lambda_k \text{ if and only if } \max(i\alpha, i\beta) \leq k \text{ whenever } i\alpha \neq i\beta \text{ (} i = 2, 3, \dots, n \text{)}.$$

Lemma 16. Let λ_k be as defined above. Then λ_k is a congruence and

$$\iota = \lambda_1 \subseteq \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_{n-1} \subseteq \lambda_n = \omega.$$

Proof. Clearly λ_k is reflexive and symmetric. To show transitivity, let $\alpha \lambda_k \beta \lambda_k \gamma$ and suppose that $i\alpha \neq i\gamma$. Now, suppose also by way of contradiction that $i\alpha > k$. Then $k < i\alpha$ and $\alpha \lambda_k \beta$ implies that $i\alpha = i\beta$ and so $i\beta > k$. However, $i\gamma \neq i\alpha = i\beta$ and $\beta \lambda_k \gamma$ implies that $\max(i\beta, i\gamma) \leq k$ and so $i\beta \leq k$ which is a contradiction. Thus if $i\alpha \neq i\gamma$, we must have $\max(i\alpha, i\gamma) \leq k$ and so $\alpha \lambda_k \gamma$. Hence λ_k is transitive.

Next we show that for all $k > 0$, λ_k is left compatible. To see this, suppose that $\alpha \lambda_k \beta$ then for all γ in D_n we have either $i\gamma\alpha = i\gamma\beta$ or $i\gamma\alpha \neq i\gamma\beta$. The latter may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} j\alpha &\neq j\beta \text{ (} j = i\gamma \text{)} \\ \Rightarrow \max(j\alpha, j\beta) &\leq k \\ \Leftrightarrow \max(i\gamma\alpha, i\gamma\beta) &\leq k \end{aligned}$$

and so $\gamma\alpha \lambda_k \gamma\beta$.

Similarly, for all γ in D_n if $i\alpha\gamma \neq i\beta\gamma$ then $i\alpha \neq i\beta$ and so $\max(i\alpha, i\beta) \leq k$ which in turn implies that $\max(i\alpha\gamma, i\beta\gamma) \leq k$, by the order-decreasing property. Therefore λ_k is a congruence. Finally, it is obvious that

$$\iota = \lambda_1 \subseteq \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_{n-1} \subseteq \lambda_n = \omega.$$

Lemma 17. Let $\alpha, \beta \in D_n$ and let $m + 1 = \min(F(\alpha) \setminus \{1\})$. Then $\alpha \lambda_m \beta$ implies $\alpha^\omega = \beta^\omega$.

Proof. Let $i \in D(\alpha, \beta) = \{x \in X_n : x\alpha \neq x\beta\}$ and $\alpha \lambda_m \beta$. Then

$$m \geq i\alpha \geq i\alpha^2 \geq \dots$$

which must terminate at $i\alpha^m = 1$, at most, since there are no fixed points strictly between 1 and $m + 1$. Similarly, we deduce that $i\beta^m = 1$. It now follows that $\alpha^\omega = \beta^\omega$, as required.

The maximum idempotent-separating congruence. Define μ on D_n by the rule that

$$(\alpha, \beta) \in \mu \text{ if } F(\alpha) = F(\beta) = \{1\} \text{ or } F(\alpha) = F(\beta) \text{ and } \alpha \lambda_m \beta$$

where $m + 1 = \min(F(\alpha) \setminus \{1\})$. Then it is not difficult to show that μ is a congruence.

Moreover, we have this interesting result:

Theorem 18. μ is the maximum idempotent-separating congruence on D_n .

Proof. That μ is idempotent-separating follows from Lemma 17. To show that μ is the maximum amongst all idempotent-separating congruences we consider an arbitrary idempotent-separating congruence ν and let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \nu$. Then $\alpha^\omega = \beta^\omega$ and $(\alpha\gamma)^\omega = (\beta\gamma)^\omega$ for all γ in D_n . The former equality implies also that $F(\alpha) = F(\alpha^\omega) = F(\beta^\omega) = F(\beta)$. Now suppose that $i\beta \neq i\alpha > m$ for some $i \in D(\alpha, \beta)$, where $m + 1 = \min(F(\alpha) \setminus \{1\})$. Define γ in D_n by

$$(i\alpha)\gamma = (m + 1)\gamma = m + 1, \quad x\gamma = 1 \text{ (otherwise)}.$$

If $i\beta \neq m + 1$, then $i\beta\gamma = 1$ whereas $i\alpha\gamma = m + 1 \in F(\alpha\gamma)$ and so we deduce that $(\alpha\gamma)^\omega \neq (\beta\gamma)^\omega$, a contradiction. If $i\beta = m + 1$, we replace α with β in the definition of γ above. This leads to a similar contradiction as above. Therefore $i\alpha \neq i\beta$ ($i \in D(\alpha, \beta)$) implies that $\max(i\alpha, i\beta) \leq m$ and so $(\alpha, \beta) \in \lambda_m$. Hence $\nu \subseteq \mu$, as required.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The second author gratefully acknowledges financial support from The Royal Society and King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals for the study leave.

REFERENCES

1. P.M. Higgins, *Techniques of semigroup theory* (Oxford University Press, 1992).
2. P. M. Higgins, A proof of Simon's theorem on piecewise testable languages, *Theoretical Computer Science* **178** (1997), 257-264.
3. J.M. Howie, The maximum idempotent-separating congruence on an inverse semigroup, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) **14**(1964), 71-79.
4. J.M. Howie, *Fundamentals of semigroup theory* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1995).
5. S.L. Limpsomb, The alternating semigroup: congruences and generators, *Semigroup Forum* **44** (1992), 96-106.
6. A.I. Macleay, Symmetric groupoids, *Mat. Sbornik* (N.S.) **3** (1952) 136-151 (MR 14, 349).
7. T. Saito, \mathcal{J} -trivial subsemigroups of finite full transformation semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **57** (1998), 60-68.
8. H.E. Scheiblich, Concerning congruences on symmetric inverse semigroups, *Czech math. Journal* **23** (1973), 1-10.
9. A. Umar, On the semigroups of order-decreasing finite full transformations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **120** (1992), 129-142.

10. A. Umar, *Semigroups of order-decreasing transformations* (Ph.D. Thesis, University of St. Andrews, 1992).
11. A. Umar, A Class of (0)-Idempotent-free Transformation Semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **59** (1999), 74-78.