King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

Technical Report Series

TR306

June 2003

COMBINATORIAL RESULTS FOR SEMIGROUPS OF ORDER-DECREASING PARTIAL TRANSFORMATIONS

A. Laradji and A. Umar

COMBINATORIAL RESULTS FOR SEMIGROUPS OF ORDER-DECREASING PARTIAL TRANSFORMATIONS

A. Laradji and A. Umar

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{PC}_n$ be the semigroup of all decreasing and order-preserving partial transformations of a finite chain. It is shown that $|\mathcal{PC}_n| = r_n$, where r_n is the large (or double) Schröeder number. It is also shown that $\mathcal{PC}_n$ is a disjoint union of two subsemigroups each of order $r_n/2 = s_n$, where s_n is the (smaller) Schröeder number. Moreover, the total number of idempotents of $\mathcal{PC}_n$ is shown to be $(3^n + 1)/2$.

1 Introduction

Consider a finite chain, say $X_n = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ under the natural ordering and let T_n and P_n be the full transformation semigroup and the semigroup of all partial transformations on X_n, under the usual composite, respectively. We shall call a partial transformation $\alpha : X_n \to X_n$, *order-decreasing (order-increasing)* or simply *decreasing (increasing)* if $x\alpha \leq x$ $(x\alpha \geq x)$ for all x in Dom α, and α is *order-preserving* if $x \leq y$ implies $x\alpha \leq y\alpha$ for x, y in Dom α. This paper investigates combinatorial properties of $\mathcal{PC}_n$, the semigroup of all decreasing and order-preserving partial transformations.

Various enumerative problems of an essentially combinatorial nature have been considered for certain classes of semigroups of transformations. For example, it is well known and indeed obvious that T_n and P_n have orders n^n and $(n + 1)^n$, respectively. Only slightly less obvious are their number of idempotents given by

$$|E(T_n)| = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \binom{n}{r} r^{n-r} \text{ and } |E(P_n)| = \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} \binom{n}{r-1} r^{n+1-r}.$$

The first usually attributed to Tainiter [14] is actually Ex 2.2.2(a) in [1]. The second can be deduced easily via Vagner's method of representing a partial transformation by a full transformation [18], which has been used to good effect by Garba [2]. The following list (which is by no means exhaustive) of papers and books [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 14, 15 & 16] each contains some interesting combinatorial results pertaining to semigroups of transformations. Somewhat surprisingly we could find no reference on the combinatorial properties of $\mathcal{PC}_n$. In fact, the only reference we could find about $\mathcal{PC}_n$ is Higgins [7, theorem 4.2], where it is shown that any finite $\mathcal{R}$ -trivial semigroup S divides some monoid $\mathcal{PC}_n$.

In Section 2, we give the necessary definitions that we need in the paper as well as show that $\mathcal{PC}_n$ is a disjoint union of two subsemigroups of the same cardinality. In Section 3, we obtain the order of $\mathcal{PC}_n$ as the large or double Schröeder number [11, 12], via some natural equivalences on $\mathcal{PC}_n$. In Section 4, we show that the set of all idempotents of $\mathcal{PC}_n$ is of cardinality $(3^n + 1)/2$.

2 Preliminaries

For standard terms and concepts in transformation semigroup theory see [5] or [8]. We now recall some definitions and notations to be used in the paper. Consider $X_n = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and let $\alpha : X_n \to X_n$ be a partial transformation. We shall denote by Dom α and Im α, the *domain* and *image set* of α, respectively. The semigroup P_n, of all partial transformation contains two important subsemigroups which have been studied recently. They are PD_n and $\mathcal{PO}_n$ the semigroups of all order-decreasing and order-preserving partial transformations, respectively (see [17] and [3, 4]). Now let

$$\mathcal{PC}_n = PD_n \cap \mathcal{PO}_n \tag{2.1}$$

be the semigroup of all decreasing and order-preserving partial transformations of X_n. We note that Vagner's approach in [18] will not work with $\mathcal{PC}_n$, since the completed full transformation is, in general, not order-preserving. Next let

$$F_n = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{PC}_n : 1 \in \text{ Dom } \alpha\} \tag{2.2}$$

be the set of all maps in $\mathcal{PC}_n$ all of whose domain does contain the element 1. Then evidently we have the following result.

Lemma 2.1 *Both F_n and F'_n (the set complement) are subsemigroups of $\mathcal{PC}_n$. Moreover, $F_n \cdot F'_n = F'_n \cdot F_n = F'_n$.*

Less evidently, we have

Lemma 2.2 $|F_n| = |F'_n|$.

Proof. Define a map ϕ from F_n into F'_n by

$$\phi(\alpha) = \alpha' \quad (\alpha \in F_n, \alpha' \in F'_n)$$

where

$$x\alpha' = x\alpha \quad (\text{for all } x \in \text{Dom } \alpha \setminus \{1\}).$$

It is clear that ϕ is a bijection since $1 \notin \text{Dom } \alpha'$ for all α' in F'_n, and $1\alpha = 1$ for all α in F_n.

3 The order of $\mathcal{PC}_n$

Our main objective in this section is to obtain a formula for $|\mathcal{PC}_n|$. We initiate our investigation by considering two natural equivalences on $\mathcal{PC}_n$. The first equivalence is defined by equality of widths (*width* of $\alpha := |\text{Dom } \alpha|$), while the second equivalence is defined by equality of waists (*waist* of $\alpha := \max(\text{Im } \alpha)$). Taking the intersection of these two equivalences leads to the following definition of $f(n, r, k)$ as

$$f(n, r, k) = |\{\alpha \in \mathcal{PC}_n : |\text{Dom } \alpha| = r \wedge \max(\text{Im } \alpha) = k\}|. \tag{3.1}$$

Then clearly we have

$$f(n, 0, 0) = 1, f(n, n, 1) = 1$$

and

$$f(n, r, 0) = 0 \text{ (if } r > 0), f(n, 0, k) = 0 \text{ (if } k > 0).$$

Slightly less clearly, we have

$$f(n, 1, k) = n - (k - 1) = n - k + 1 \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$f(n,r,1) = \binom{n}{r} \tag{3.3}$$

In fact, $f(n,1,k)$ corresponds to the number of maps α (in $\mathcal{PC}_n$) with singleton domain and hence Im $\alpha = \{k\}$. Since by the order-decreasing property, $x \in$ Dom α implies $x \in \{k, k+1, \ldots, n\}$, the result now follows. As for $f(n,r,1)$, it corresponds to all subsets of X_n of size r. A more general result is

Lemma 3.1 *For all* $n \geq r, k \geq 0$, *we have*

$$f(n,r,k) = f(n-1,r,k) + \sum_{t=0}^{k} f(n-1,r-1,t).$$

Proof. Essentially there are two cases to consider: $n \notin$ Dom α and $n \in$ Dom α. In the former case there are clearly $f(n-1,r,k)$ maps of this type. In the latter case, since $n\alpha = k$, it is not difficult to see that there are

$$\sum_{t=0}^{k} f(n-1,r-1,t)$$

maps of this type. Hence the result follows.

A closed formula for $f(n,r,k)$ is possible, but before we propose this formula we would like to state this lemma from [10, lemma 3.3] which is obtained by combining equations (3) and (3b) from [13, p. 8].

Lemma 3.2 *For any* $c \in \mathbb{R}$, *and* $q, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, *we have*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m}(c-j)\binom{q+j}{j} = (c-m-1)\binom{m+q+1}{m} + \binom{m+q+2}{m}.$$

Proposition 3.3 *Let* $f(n,r,k)$ *be as defined in (3.1). Then for* $n \geq r, k > 0$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} f(n,r,k) &= \frac{n-k+1}{r}\binom{n-1}{r-1}\binom{k+r-2}{r-1} \\ &= \frac{n-k+1}{n}\binom{n}{r}\binom{k+r-2}{r-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is by induction, and by virtue of (3.2) and (3.3) which agree both with the assertion we may suppose that the result is true for all $1 \leq r, k \leq n$. We now prove that it is true for all $1 \leq r, k \leq n+1$. By Lemma 3.1 and the induction hypothesis successively, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(n+1,r,k) &= f(n,r,k) + \sum_{t=0}^{k} f(n,r-1,t) \\
&= \frac{n-k+1}{n}\binom{n}{r}\binom{k+r-2}{r-1} + \sum_{t=0}^{k}\frac{n-t+1}{n}\binom{n}{r-1}\binom{r+t-3}{r-2} \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\left\{\frac{n!(n-k+1)}{(n-r)!r(r-1)!}\binom{k+r-2}{r-1} + (n+1)\binom{n}{r-1}\sum_{t=1}^{k}\binom{r+t-3}{r-2}\right. \\
&\quad \left. -\binom{n}{r-1}\sum_{t=1}^{k} t\binom{r+t-3}{r-2}\right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\binom{n}{r-1}\left\{\frac{n-r+1}{r}(n-k+1)\binom{k+r-2}{r-1} + (n+1)\sum_{t=0}^{k-1}\binom{r-2+t}{r-2}\right. \\
&\quad \left. -\sum_{t=0}^{k}(t+1)\binom{r-2+t}{r-2}\right\}. \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\binom{n}{r-1}\left\{\frac{(n-r+1)(n-k+1)}{r}\binom{k+r-2}{r-2}\right. \\
&\quad \left. +\sum_{t=0}^{k-1}(n-t)\binom{r-2+t}{r-2}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

However, by Lemma 3.2

$$\sum_{t=0}^{k-1}(n-t)\binom{r-2+t}{r-2} = (n-k)\binom{k+r-2}{k-1} + \binom{k+r-1}{k-1}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
f(n+1,r,k) &= \frac{1}{n}\binom{n}{r-1}\left\{\frac{(n-r+1)(n-k+1)}{r}\binom{k+r-2}{r-1}\right. \\
&\quad \left. +(n-k)\binom{k+r-2}{r-1} + \binom{k+r-1}{r}\right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\binom{n}{r-1}\binom{k+r-2}{r-1}\left\{\frac{(n-r+1)(n-k+1)}{r}\right. \\
&\quad \left. +(n-k) + \frac{k+r-1}{r}\right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{r}\binom{n}{r-1}\binom{k+r-2}{r-1}(n+2-k)
\end{aligned}$$

as required. To complete the induction step we still need to verify the result for $f(n+1,n+1,k)$ and $f(n+1,r,n+1)$. By using Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 and the induction hypothesis these could be routinely verified. Thus the proof of Proposition 3.3 is complete.

Immediately, we have

Corollary 3.4 [10, proposition 3.10]. *Let $\mathcal{C}_n$ be the semigroup of all decreasing and order-preserving full transformations of X_n. Then*

$$|\{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_n : \max(Im\ \alpha) = k\}| = f(n,n,k) = \frac{n-k+1}{n}\binom{n+k-2}{n-1}.$$

Corollary 3.5 *For $n \geq r \geq 1$, we have*

$$f(n,r,r) = \frac{n-r+1}{n}\binom{n}{r}\binom{2r-2}{r-1}.$$

Lemma 3.6 *Let $G(n,k) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} f(n,r,k)$. Then*

$$G(n,k) = \frac{n-k+1}{n}\sum_{r=0}^{n}\binom{n}{r}\binom{k+r-2}{r-1}.$$

Proposition 3.7 *Let $G(n,k) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} f(n,r,k)$. Then $G(n,0) = 1, G(n,1) = 2^{n-1}, G(n,n) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{r=0}^{n}\binom{n}{r}\binom{n+r-2}{r-1}$, and for $2 \leq k \leq n$, we have*

$$G(n,k) = 2G(n-1,k) - G(n-1,k-1) + G(n,k-1).$$

Proof. Since the initial and boundary conditions are clear it remains to show the recurrence:

$$\begin{aligned}
G(n,k) &= \sum_{r=0}^{n} f(n,r,k) \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{n}\left\{f(n-1,r,k) + \sum_{t=1}^{k} f(n-1,r-1,t)\right\} \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(n-1,r,k) + \sum_{t=0}^{k}\sum_{r=0}^{n} f(n-1,r-1,t) \\
&= G(n-1,k) + \sum_{t=0}^{k} G(n-1,t) \qquad (3.4) \\
&= 2G(n-1,k) + \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} G(n-1,t).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus from (3.4) we have

$$G(n,k-1) = G(n-1,k-1) + \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} G(n-1,t)$$

and so

$$G(n,k) - G(n,k-1) = 2G(n-1,k) - G(n-1,k-1)$$

from which the result follows.

Proposition 3.8 *Let* $F(n,r) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n,r,k)$. *Then*

$$F(n,r) = \frac{1}{n}\binom{n}{r}\binom{n+r}{n-1}.$$

Proof. The proof is direct by using Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.3. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(n,r) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n,r,k) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n-k+1}{n}\binom{n}{r}\binom{k+r-2}{r-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\binom{n}{r}\sum_{k=0}^{n}[n-(k-1)]\binom{k+r-2}{k-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\binom{n}{r}\sum_{k=0}^{n}[n-(k-1)]\binom{(r-1)+(k-1)}{k-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\binom{n}{r}\sum_{t=0}^{n-1}(n-t)\binom{(r-1)+t}{t} \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\binom{n}{r}\binom{n+r}{r-1}
\end{aligned}$$

as required.

Corollary 3.9 [6, theorem 3.1]. *Let* $\mathcal{C}_n$ *be the semigroup of all decreasing and order-preserving full transformations of* X_n. *Then*

$$|\mathcal{C}_n| = F(n,n) = \frac{1}{n}\binom{2n}{n-1}.$$

Remark 3.10 The triangular array of numbers $G(n,k)$, $f(n,r,r)$ and $F(n,r)$ are not listed in Sloane's encyclopaedia of integer sequences and so we believe they are new. For some selected values of these numbers, see Tables 1-3.

From [11] and [12] we deduce that the large (or double) Schröeder number denoted by r_n could be defined as

$$r_n = \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{r=0}^{n}\left(\begin{array}{c} n+1 \\ n-r \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} n+r \\ r \end{array}\right).$$

Moreover, r_n satisfies the recurrence

$$(n+2)r_{n+1} = 3(2n+1)r_n - (n-1)r_{n-1} \tag{3.5}$$

for $n \geq 1$, with initial conditions $r_0 = 1$ and $r_1 = 2$. The (small) Schröeder number is usually denoted by s_n and defined as $s_0 = 1, s_n = r_n/2\,(n \geq 1)$ and so it satisfies the same recurrence as r_n.

We now have the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.11 *Let $\mathcal{PC}_n$ be as defined in (2.1). Then $|\mathcal{PC}_n| = r_n$, the double Schröeder number.*

Proof. It is clear from Proposition 3.8 that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{PC}_n| &= \sum_{r=0}^{n}\frac{1}{n}\left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ r \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} n+r \\ n-1 \end{array}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{r=0}^{n}\left(\begin{array}{c} n+1 \\ n-r \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} n+r \\ r \end{array}\right) \\ &= r_n. \end{aligned}$$

For the semigroup F_n (defined in (2.2)) and its complement we now have

Corollary 3.12 $|F_n| = |F'_n| = s_n$, *the (small) Schröeder number.*

Remark 3.13 The double Schröeder number is the number of all lattice paths in the Cartesian plane that start at $(0,0)$, end at (n,n), contain no points above the line $y = x$, and composed only of steps $(1,0), (0,1)$ and $(1,1)$, i.e., $\rightarrow, \uparrow$ and $\nearrow$. Thus there is a bijection between the set of all such paths and $\mathcal{PC}_n$, and in a future paper we intend to explore the connection between these paths and decreasing and order-preserving partial transformations.

4 The number of idempotents

As stated in the introduction the number of idempotents of various classes of semigroups of transformations has been computed. For further results see [9, 15, 16]. Our main task in this section is to compute the number of all idempotents in $\mathcal{PC}_n$. As in the previous section, we consider

$$e(n, r, k) = |\{\alpha \in \mathcal{PC}_n : \alpha^2 = \alpha, |\text{Dom } \alpha| = r \wedge \max(\text{Im } \alpha) = k\}|. \qquad (4.1)$$

Then clearly we have

$$e(n, r, 0) = \begin{cases} 1 & (r = 0) \\ 0 & (r > 0) \end{cases}, e(n, 0, k) = \begin{cases} 1 & (k = 0) \\ 0 & (k > 0) \end{cases}$$

and

$$e(n, r, 1) = \binom{n-1}{r-1}.$$

The latter corresponds to the number of all idempotents α in $\mathcal{PC}_n$ of width r and Im $\alpha = \{1\}$, that is the number of all subsets of X_n each containing the element 1 and of size r. More generally, we have

Lemma 4.1 *For all $n \geq r, k \geq 1$ and $n > k$, we have*

$$e(n, r, k) = e(n-1, r, k) + e(n-1, r-1, k).$$

Proof. If $n \notin$ Dom α then $n \notin$ Im α, by idempotency and so there are $e(n-1, r, k)$ idempotents of this type. If on the other hand $n \in$ Dom α then $n\alpha = k < n$ and of course $k\alpha = k$. It is now not difficult to see that the number of such idempotents is $e(n-1, r-1, k)$. Hence the result follows.

Lemma 4.2 *For $n \geq r \geq 1$, $e(n, r, n) = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} e(n-1, r-1, t)$.*

Proof. Since $n = \max(\text{Im } \alpha)$, it follows by the order-decreasing property that $n\alpha^{-1} = \{n\}$ and so there is no interference with the elements of $X_n \setminus \{n\}$ of which there are $\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} e(n-1, r-1, t)$ possible idempotents.

Proposition 4.3 *Let* $e(n,r) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} e(n,r,k)$. *For* $n \geq r > 0$, *we have*

$$e(n,r) = 2^{r-1}\binom{n}{r}.$$

Proof. First note that $e(n,1)$ is the number of all idempotents of width 1, that is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x \end{pmatrix}$ $(x \in X_n)$ of which there are n of them and this agrees with the assertion of the proposition. Suppose now by way of induction $e(n,r)$ is true for all $n > r > 0$. Then using Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 and the induction hypothesis successively, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e(n,r) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} e(n,r,k) \\
&= e(n,r,n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e(n,r,k) \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} e(n-1,r-1,t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\{e(n-1,r,k) + e(n-1,r-1,k)\} \\
&= 2e(n-1,r-1) + e(n-1,r) \qquad (r \geq 2) \\
&= 2 \cdot 2^{r-2}\binom{n-1}{r-1} + 2^{r-1}\binom{n-1}{r} \\
&= 2^{r-1}\binom{n}{r}
\end{aligned}$$

as required.

Corollary 4.4 [6, theorem 3.19]. *Let* $\mathcal{C}_n$ *be the semigroup of all decreasing and order-preserving full transformations of* X_n. *Then*

$$|E(\mathcal{C}_n)| = e(n,n) = 2^{n-1}.$$

We now have the main result of this section.

Proposition 4.5 *Let* $\mathcal{PC}_n$ *be as defined in (2.1).Then* $|E(\mathcal{PC}_n)| = \frac{1}{2}(3^n + 1)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
|E(\mathcal{PC}_n)| &= \sum_{r=0}^{n} e(n,r) \\
&= 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{n} e(n,r) \\
&= 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{n} 2^{r-1} \binom{n}{r} \\
&= 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{n} 2^{r} \binom{n}{r} \\
&= 1 + \frac{1}{2}(3^n - 1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(3^n + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, a closed formula for $g(n,k) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} e(n,r,k)$ is also possible. First we show the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6 *For all* $n \geq k > 0$, $g(n,k) = 2^{n-k} g(k,k)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
g(n,k) &= \sum_{r=0}^{n} e(n,r,k) \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{n} \{e(n-1,r,k) + e(n-1,r-1,k)\} \\
&= 2g(n-1,k).
\end{aligned}$$

By iteration we have

$$g(n,k) = 2^{n-k} g(k,k)$$

as required.

Now let $e_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} g(n,k)$. Then we have

Lemma 4.7 $g(n,n) = e_{n-1}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} g(n,n) &= \sum_{r=0}^{n} e(n,r,n) = \sum_{r=0}^{n}\sum_{t=0}^{n} e(n-1,r-1,t) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{n}\sum_{r=0}^{n} e(n-1,r-1,t) = \sum_{t=0}^{n} g(n-1,t) \\ &= e_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.8 *Let* $g(n,k) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} e(n,r,k)$*. For* $n \geq k > 0$*, we have*

$$g(n,k) = 2^{n-k-1}(3^{k-1}+1).$$

Proof. First observe that $g(n,1)$ is the number of idempotents in $\mathcal{PC}_n$ of waist 1 and so Im $\alpha = \{1\}$. This is equivalent to the number of all subsets of X_n containing the element 1, by idempotency. Thus $g(n,1) = 2^{n-1}$, which agrees with the assertion of the proposition. Suppose now by way of induction the formula for $g(n,k)$ is true for all $n > k \geq 1$, then by Lemma 4.6

$$\begin{aligned} g(n,k) &= 2^{n-k} g(k,k) \\ &= 2^{n-k} \cdot 2^{-1}(3^{k-1}+1) \qquad (k < n) \\ &= 2^{n-k-1}(3^{k-1}+1), \end{aligned}$$

as required. Moreover, by Lemma 4.7

$$\begin{aligned} g(n,n) &= e_{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(3^{n-1}+1) \\ &= 2^{-1}(3^{n-1}+1) \end{aligned}$$

as required. Hence the proof is complete.

Remark 4.9 The triangular array of numbers $e(n,r)$ has been entered recently as sequence number $A082137$ (in Sloane's encyclopaedia of integer sequences) referred to there as square arrays of transforms of binomial coefficients, read by anti-diagonals. But the triangular array of numbers $g(n,k)$ has not been entered and so we believe is new.

k / n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	$\sum G(n,k)$
0	1								1
1	1	1							2
2	1	3	2						6
3	1	7	8	6					22
4	1	15	24	28	22				90
5	1	31	64	96	112	90			394
6	1	63	160	288	416	484	394		1806
7	1	127	384	800	1344	1896	2200	1806	8558

Table 1. *G(n, k)*

r / n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	$\sum f(n,r,r)$
0	1								1
1	1	1							2
2	1	2	1						4
3	1	3	4	2					10
4	1	4	9	12	5				31
5	1	5	16	36	40	14			112
6	1	6	25	80	150	140	42		444
7	1	7	36	150	400	630	504	132	1860

Table 2. *f(n, r, r)*

r / n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	$\sum F(n,r)$
0	1								1
1	1	1							2
2	1	3	2						6
3	1	6	10	5					22
4	1	10	30	35	14				90
5	1	15	70	140	126	42			394
6	1	21	140	420	630	462	132		1806
7	1	28	252	1050	2310	2772	1716	429	8558

Table 3. *F(n, r)*

r / n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	$\sum e(n,r)$
0	1								1
1	1	1							2
2	1	2	2						5
3	1	3	6	4					14
4	1	4	12	16	8				41
5	1	5	20	40	40	16			122
6	1	6	30	80	120	96	32		365
7	1	7	42	140	280	336	224	64	1094

Table 4. *e(n, r)*

n \ k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	$\sum g(n,k)$
0	1								1
1	1	1							2
2	1	2	2						5
3	1	4	4	5					14
4	1	8	8	10	14				41
5	1	16	16	20	28	41			122
6	1	32	32	40	56	82	122		365
7	1	64	64	80	112	164	244	365	1094

Table 5. $g(n, k)$

Acknowledgment. We would like to gratefully acknowledge support from the King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals.

References

1. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Vol. 1, Mathematical Surveys 7 (Providence, R. I.: American Math. Soc., 1961).

2. G.U. Garba, Idempotents in partial transformation semigroups. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* **116** (1990), 359-366.

3. G. U. Garba, On the idempotent ranks of certain semigroups of order-preserving transformations, *Portugaliae Mathematica* **51** (1994), 185-204.

4. G. M. S. Gomes and J. M. Howie, On the ranks of certain semigroups of order-preserving transformations, *Semigroup Forum*, **45** (1992), 272-282.

5. P. M. Higgins, *Techniques of semigroup theory,* (Oxford University Press, 1992).

6. P. M. Higgins, Combinatorial results for semigroups of order-preserving mappings, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **113** (1993), 281-296.

7. P. M. Higgins, Divisors of semigroups of order-preserving mappings on a finite chain, *Intern. Journal of Algebra and Computations* **5** (1995), 725-742.

8. J. M. Howie, *Fundamentals of semigroup theory* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1995).

9. J. M. Howie, Products of idempotents in certain semigroups of order-preserving transformations, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) **17**(1971), 223-236.

10. A. Laradji and A. Umar, On certain finite semigroups of order-decreasing transformations I, *submitted*.

11. E. Pergola and R. A. Sulanke, Schröeder Triangles, Paths and Parallelogram Polyominoes, *Journal of Integer Sequences* Vol. **1** (1998), 98.1.7.

12. R. P. Stanley, Hipparchus, Plutarch, Schröeder and Hough, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **104** (1997), 344-350.

13. J. Riordan, *Combinatorial Identities*, (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1968).

14. M. Tainiter, A characterisation of idempotents in semigroups, *J. Combin. Theory* **5** (1968), 370-373.

15. A. Umar, On the semigroups of order-decreasing finite full transformations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect.* A **120** (1992), 129-142.

16. A. Umar, Enumeration of certain finite semigroups of transformations, *Discrete Math.* **189** (1998), 291-297.

17. A. Umar, On certain infinite semigroups of order-decreasing transformations I, *Communications in Algebra* Vol. **25** (9) (1997), 2987-2999.

18. V.V. Vagner, Representations of ordered semigroups. Math. Sb. NS. **387** (1956), 203-240, translated in Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. (2) **36** (1964), 295-336.